

EBRD GREEN CITIES

Green City Action Plan methodology



European Bank
for Reconstruction and Development

October 2020

The Green City Action Plans
are generously funded by:



Acknowledgements

This document is based on work prepared by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability for the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development in 2016. The document has been updated to account for the lessons learned over the past four years of Green City Action Plan development and implementation, and incorporates additional best practice developed through a review of the document and the EBRD Green Cities programme by ICLEI in 2020. This publication was kindly funded by the Government of Japan.

Contents

1 Prepare and organise	10
1.1. Secure initial commitment	10
1.2. Review existing policies	10
1.3. Outline approval process	10
1.4. Set up team and institutional structures	11
1.5. Identify stakeholders	12
1.6. Kick-Off Meeting	13
1.7. Launch event	14
1.8. First stakeholder engagement: initial discussion on the city's environmental performance	14
1.9. Stakeholder engagement plan	14
1.10. Summarise Prepare and Organise steps	16
2. Identify and prioritise challenges	17
2.1. Green City Baseline	17
2.1.A. Policy and Urban Framework	17
2.1.B. Map city resilience based on risks and vulnerabilities	18
2.1.C. Smart Maturity Assessment	19
2.1.D. Map environmental performance (pressure and state indicators)	20
2.1.E. Map policy performance (response indicators)	21
2.1.F. Complete technical assessment and identify Green City challenges	21
2.2. Stakeholder engagement: prioritising Green City challenges	22
2.3. Complete Green City baseline and prioritisation of Green City Challenges	23
3. Plan Green City actions	24
3.1 Develop a vision	24
3.1.A. Establish the GCAP structure	24
3.1.B. Stakeholder engagement: Green City vision and strategic goals	24
3.2. Select Green City actions	26
3.2.A. Review existing Green City initiatives and responses	26
3.2.B. Select Green City actions (1 to 5 years)	26
3.3. Prioritise Green City actions	27
3.3.A. Stakeholder engagement: prioritisation of Green City actions	27
3.3.B. Impact and cost implications of actions	27

3.3.C. Map actions against challenges, risks and vulnerabilities identified, and co-benefits	28
3.3.D. Determine medium-term targets (5-10 years)	29
3.3.E. Stakeholder engagement: finalise Green City actions	29
3.4. Finalise Green City Action Plan	30
3.4.A. Resource implications for implementing the GCAP	30
3.4.B. Monitoring and reporting	30
3.4.C. Draft GCAP	30
3.4.D. Present GCAP for approval	30
4 Implement and monitor	32
4.1. Implementation and monitoring	32
4.1.A. Define responsibilities	32
4.1.B. Develop implementation monitoring plan	33
4.1.C. Develop impact monitoring plan	33
4.1.D. Set data collection standards	33
4.1.E. Evaluate and amend GCAP implementation including timelines and plans	34
Annex 1. List of stakeholder engagements	
Annex 2. Pressure-State-Response Indicators for Green Cities	
Annex 3. Definitions of Green City Indicators	
Annex 4. Water consumption factors for energy technologies	

Executive summary

Cities are dynamic and vital parts of society and the main engines of social, economic and technological development. However, rapid urban growth has vastly increased demand for resources, which, in turn, affects the environment as well as the quality of life of urban residents.

In the EBRD regions, these challenges are particularly acute due to demographic changes, insufficient investment in infrastructure and historical legacies of high energy and carbon intensity. In response, the EBRD has developed EBRD Green Cities (the “programme”), which strives to build a better and more sustainable future for cities and their residents. The programme achieves this by identifying, prioritising and connecting cities’ environmental challenges with sustainable infrastructure investments and policy measures.

One of the programme’s central components is the Green City Action Plan (GCAP), the process whereby a city’s environmental challenges are systematically assessed, prioritised and addressed through various policy instruments and sustainable infrastructure investments. This document describes the Methodology and process for developing a GCAP. It is a revision and update of the original Methodology produced by the Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) and ICLEI - Local Governments for Sustainability in 2016. This update includes results of a review in April-June 2020 to capture the lessons learned in the course of the COVID-19 virus outbreak starting in January 2020. The revised Methodology incorporates additional best practice to support green outcomes and co-benefits including increased resilience, gender equality, economic and social inclusion.

The primary audience for this document is city officials and consultants who are responsible for implementing GCAPs, as well as urban specialists who are interested in the programme’s methodology. It offers implementers a step-by step guide to developing a GCAP, and outlines the political, economic and social considerations that should be taken into account, while in parallel helping prepare cities to better respond to and recover from the physical and socio-economic impacts of future disasters.

The document emphasises that developing a GCAP is a continuous process, allowing cities to adjust their visions and actions over time. Newly occurring challenges and priorities, such as the COVID-19 outbreak (ongoing at the time of writing), can be integrated into a city’s mid-to-long-term sustainability programme applying the principle of continuous improvement. The EBRD considers this to be a living document, and further updates may be made in the future. The following is an overview of EBRD Green Cities, as well as how the programme helps cities grow in a way that is socially, environmentally and economically sustainable.

Glossary

Green City actions – defined investment, policy and other initiatives that are principally focused on environmental outcomes and address Green City challenges, which may result in resilience or socio-economic co-benefits.

Green City baseline – the current status of a city’s environment and influencing conditions including exposure to risks and vulnerabilities, and socio-economic frameworks

Green City challenges – specific issues affecting a city’s environment with respect to the quality of the environment or ecosystem services, infrastructure operations, policy responses, risks and vulnerabilities, or socio-economic pressures

Priority Environmental Challenge – thematic areas stemming from the categories of GCAP state indicators that a city selects to describe the aggregate environmental challenges to address.

Pressure-state-response (PSR) framework - developed by the OECD, the PSR framework categorises various, specific indicators to illustrate the causal linkages between environmental pressures, the resulting state of the environment, and associated responses by the government, residents and the private sector.

Traffic light screening - a simple method of assessing and comparing a city’s environmental performance indicators with established benchmarks, whereby a green light indicates good performance in line with international standards; an amber light indicates insufficient performance and cause for concern; and a red light indicates low performance and need for critical attention.

About the EBRD

Founded in 1991, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) is a multilateral development bank that promotes market economies in the regions where it invests, from central Europe to Central Asia, the Western Balkans and the southern and eastern Mediterranean region.

The Bank’s investments and activities target reforms and restructuring aimed at improving the efficiency of the regions’ markets and economic operations. Under its founding agreement, the EBRD is also committed to promoting “environmentally sound and sustainable development”.

In recent years, environmental objectives have gained critical importance in the Bank’s strategies and operations. In 2015, the EBRD launched the Green Economy Transition (GET) approach to help build low-carbon and resilient economies. Through the GET approach, the Bank aims to have 40 per cent of Annual Bank Investment in green projects by 2020.

Green City Action Plan steps



Introduction

Around the world, urban populations are growing rapidly. According to the United Nations, around half the world's population now lives in urban areas, with this figure likely to exceed 68 per cent by 2050. To meet the rising demand for services, cities require vast amounts of resources, which, in turn, has a significant impact on the urban environment. For example, cities currently account for up to 75 per cent of greenhouse gas emissions worldwide and 70 per cent of energy use.

Other concerns include air quality, traffic congestion and pressure on limited green space, land and water resources. Cities are also particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. Over 90 per cent of all urban areas are coastal, putting most cities at risk of flooding from rising sea levels and powerful storms.

These urban issues are particularly acute in cities in the EBRD regions, where energy intensity is up to three times greater than the European Union (EU) average (IEA, 2015). Public and private buildings often have poor energy performance and urban residents are also exposed to higher levels of air pollution.

Many economies where the EBRD invests are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. Water supply in Central Asia has decreased by 25 per cent since 1960 and is predicted to shrink by a further 25 per cent in the next 20 years. Municipal solid waste management is another challenge in the EBRD regions, where much waste ends up in landfills that "are simply dumpsite areas where the municipal services (or contractors) pile up or simply deposit waste" (UNECE, 2010). Meanwhile, recycling of waste is negligible and far short of the EU target of 50 per cent of municipal solid waste being recycled by 2020. EU Recycling targets for municipal waste are expected to gradually move up from 50% in 2020 to 65% in 2035 (European Commission, 2018).

For the sustainable development of green cities, it is critical to recognise the relationship between environmental aspects and economic and social issues. This thinking is also very much in line with the UN's 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the Sustainable Development Goals (UN 2015) and particularly the Goal 11 calling for governments to make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.

EBRD Green Cities

To address these challenges, the EBRD developed the EBRD Green Cities programme, with the aim of building a better and more sustainable future for cities and their residents.

The programme does this by identifying and prioritising environmental challenges, which are then connected with sustainable infrastructure investments and policy measures, with the aim to build a sustainable and resilient future for the EBRD Green Cities.

The EBRD defines a Green City as a city that:

- Preserves or improves the quality of its environmental assets (air, water, land, soil and biodiversity) and uses these resources sustainably
- Mitigates and adapts to the risks of climate change
- Preserves and improves resilience of its infrastructures, services, operations and communities against shocks and stresses
- Ensures that environmental policies contribute to the social and economic wellbeing of residents, regardless of their gender, place of birth, age, sexual orientation, disabilities or other circumstances.

To help cities achieve these goals, EBRD Green Cities employs a unique and systematic approach consisting of three central components:

1. Green City Action Plan (GCAP): Assessing and prioritising environmental challenges and urban vulnerabilities based on specific indicators and developing an action plan to tackle the challenges and vulnerabilities through policy intervention and sustainable infrastructure investments.

2. Green infrastructure investment: Facilitating and stimulating public or private green investments in urban systems such as water and wastewater, urban transport, district energy, energy efficiency in buildings, renewable energy, solid waste, climate resilience, urban regeneration, education, health care, natural capital, social care and food systems.

3. Capacity building: Providing technical support to city administrators and responsible organisations of actions to ensure that infrastructure investments are implemented effectively.

Sustainable Infrastructure Financing

EBRD Green Cities builds on the Bank's proven track record in helping cities invest in sustainable municipal infrastructure.

Since 1994, the Bank has invested over €9.5 billion in transformational municipal infrastructure across more than 500 projects in more than 200 cities in the EBRD regions.

These investments included public transport infrastructure, new or upgraded water supply and wastewater treatment, energy-efficient district energy solutions, municipal solid waste projects, street lighting, urban resilience and renewable energy solutions.

Pressure-State Response Framework

The GCAP process is built on the pressure-state-response (PSR) framework developed by the OECD. The PSR framework provides a useful structure to understand the linkages between activities that place pressure on the environment, the resulting state of the environment and associated responses by the government, residents and the private sector to address the pressures.

We use this framework to select indicators to assess a city's environmental performance, with sensitivity given to overlaps with urban resilience.

For the GCAP, a distinction is made between core and elective (optional) indicators within the state and pressure categories. Only the core indicators must be assessed to fulfil the GCAP's minimum requirements.

The elective indicators can be used to provide supplementary information, either in addition to the core indicators or in the event that a core indicator is not available. In total, there are 114 indicators, 35 of which are core indicators.

The PSR framework lays the foundation for the GCAP to identify, prioritise and address environmental challenges through green investments and policies. The following sections outline the steps to ensure successful GCAP development and implementation.

Explaining the Pressure-State Response Framework

Pressure indicators

These indicators are used to understand the factors that may be negatively impacting the environment, for example, increased urban sprawl reducing the availability of green spaces.

State indicators

These indicators are used to understand the quality of the city's environment (for example, soil quality). It also assesses the city's resource availability (such as water storage) and climate risk (such as exposure to flooding).

Response indicators

These indicators measure actions that have been or could be taken to address pressures and improve the state of the environment, for example, imposing planning restrictions to increase green spaces.

1 Prepare and organise

To join EBRD Green Cities, a city needs to have a population exceeding 100,000, initiate a GCAP and commit to a trigger investment project that meets the EBRD's investment criteria.

By committing to developing a GCAP, a city sets a priority for high environmental performance, in a manner that also strengthens urban resilience. Cities undertaking GCAPs should therefore take several steps before developing the action plan to identify relevant stakeholders, set up institutional structures, establish timelines and ensure compliance with all relevant laws, regulations and policies.

1.1. Secure initial commitment

To start the GCAP process, the mayor (or equivalent) and/or the city council should give municipal staff the official go-ahead and mandate to work on the GCAP. Municipal staff need to be engaged early on in the process, as staff members will institutionalise and implement the GCAP process and monitor developments.

A City formally declares its commitment to develop a GCAP and become an EBRD Green City as part of one of the following mechanisms:

1. A loan or project agreement with EBRD for a trigger investment project that meets the EBRD's investment eligibility criteria; or
2. A Memorandum of Understanding with EBRD stating a City will undertake an EBRD-financed sustainable infrastructure investment project in two years; or
3. A Commitment Letter submitted to EBRD outlining a City's intention to undertake an EBRD-financed sustainable infrastructure investment project in two years.

The City will also be required to sign a Waiver Letter with the EBRD once a Terms of Reference for the GCAP Consultants (Step 1.4) has been developed.

1.2. Review existing policies

The EBRD will conduct a review of a city's existing policies, strategies, plans and reports in cooperation with the City. The review ensures that the GCAP builds on any relevant urban, environmental or social policies or strategies previously adopted, developed or in a process of development by the local, regional and national government as well as those prepared by international development agencies (i.e. a Resilience Strategy, Sustainable Energy and Climate Action Plan, waste management or pollution prevention plans, etc.). This review ensures that the GCAP is additional to a City's sustainable development efforts, does not duplicate existing initiatives and incorporates established strategies from its inception.

1.3. Outline approval process

The City will work with the EBRD to outline the approval process for the GCAP, including legal procedures, timelines and formal requirements. The City should draft a summary of the legal process for approving the GCAP with its legal department. The municipal budget cycle should also be considered to ensure alignment with infrastructure investments later proposed in the GCAP.

The City should also confirm whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) or similar documentation is necessary and confirm requirements with relevant ministries or regulatory bodies. If an SEA is needed, it should be included in the GCAP.

The GCAP approval process and potential SEA requirements will be further refined and confirmed as part of the GCAP's Inception (Step 1.10).

The findings of Steps 1.2 and 1.3 informs the development of a Terms of Reference for consultants to support the City in developing a GCAP.

1.4. Set up team and institutional structures

Developing and delivering a successful GCAP requires assembling various teams. The following is EBRD's recommendation for a successful organisational structure to oversee and support the development of a GCAP. Ideally, the City should assign and establish the following person(s) and bodies to facilitate the development of the GCAP and accept deliverables as developed. Collectively, these people and bodies form the **GCAP Team**.

a) Political Champion:

The mayor or other high-level official with decision-making authority who is responsible for driving the GCAP.

Intended responsibilities:

- Assign appropriate municipal staff members to work on the development of the GCAP
- Inform and inspire citizens on the development of the GCAP.

b) Green City Officer

The City should identify a member of staff who has the mandate, capacity and expertise to support the GCAP throughout its development. This individual will serve as the key contact for the GCAP within the City and report directly to the mayor or equivalent.

Intended responsibilities:

- Regularly coordinate with the Consultant and EBRD
- Coordinate Steering Committee – provide updates, share deliverables, collect feedbacks etc.

c) Steering Committee

To oversee and steer the GCAP process, the City should establish a GCAP Steering Committee. This Committee will provide strategic oversight and input and be made up of senior representatives/heads from relevant municipal departments including from finance, communications, sectoral departments, and offices responsible for promoting the City's sustainable and resilient development. The City may include members from other public agencies, utility companies, businesses, NGOs, universities, knowledge institutions, and other relevant organisations to the Steering Committee.

Intended responsibilities

- Accept deliverables prepared by the Consultant
- Support the Consultant to access information held internally and, where possible, external stakeholders, to carry out analysis and to prepare actions.

- Review and approve selection of Green City challenges, visions, strategic goals, and actions.
- Inform citizens on the progress of the GCAP development through its website, social media or newsletters.

d) Expert Group

The City should also appoint a GCAP expert group, consisting of technical experts within the City who will provide technical inputs and review the deliverables prepared by the Consultant. This expert group should consist of representatives from municipal departments dealing with city finances and investment or development planning, emergency response and civil protection, climate change, disaster risk reduction and preparedness, and most critically representatives from utilities or municipal service companies operating. The members may overlap with those involved in Steering Committee.

Intended responsibilities

- Revise and comment on deliverables prepared by the Consultant to ensure contents are technically sound.
- Organise stakeholder engagement activities (jointly with the Consultant)
- Provide relevant data and information to the Consultant to carry out analysis and to prepare actions.

The above groups will be supported by:

- **Consultant**

The EBRD, together with the City, should select a Consultant in line with EBRD procurement rules to support the GCAP's development on a day-to-day basis. The Consultant will consist of international and local experts, with experience in urban sustainability and infrastructure investments yielding green benefits and other co-benefits.

Intended responsibilities

- Oversee GCAP development process
- Prepare deliverables, collect and analyse data, policies and municipal budget
- Facilitate stakeholder engagement activities

- **EBRD**

The EBRD will be involved in the GCAP development process to provide appropriate guidance to the Consultant and to support the City overcome any challenges which may arise during the GCAP development.

1.5. Identify stakeholders

An integrated and effective GCAP requires early involvement of key partners and stakeholders. A comprehensive stakeholder mapping and analysis should be performed to identify key individuals and stakeholder groups, including businesses NGOs, knowledge institutions and public agencies and utility companies responsible for municipal services such as energy, water, waste and transport utilities, land use planning, health and social care.

Stakeholders should represent multiple sectors, disciplines, and related areas of expertise. Along with representatives from public organisations such as city administrations, municipal utilities, public housing or social care organisations, or national ministries, the EBRD encourages cities to include diverse stakeholders from NGOs, women's organisations, private business, universities, research and

knowledge institutions, as well as international and bilateral organisations to enrich the GCAP development process.

This stakeholder mapping will form the basis for a Stakeholder Engagement Plan, to be developed further in Step 1.9. The contents of the preliminary Stakeholder Engagement Plan are outlined in the *Stakeholder Engagement Guidance* for GCAPs, which should be used to develop the necessary stakeholder related documentation for the GCAP.

The EBRD encourages all relevant stakeholders to be included in the GCAP development process, regardless of their gender, place of birth, age, sexual orientation, disabilities or other circumstances¹. Particular efforts should be made to involve women and stakeholders from under-represented or vulnerable groups².

During stakeholder identification, Consultants should encourage the City to consider and identify a broad range of stakeholder groups, including those who may not have been traditionally involved in city planning. For example, gender and youth and organisations, indigenous and local communities and their organisations, professional associations, the media, as well as international humanitarian organizations - all have much to contribute to local resilience and vulnerabilities, city planning and subsequent action.

1.6. Kick-Off Meeting

The first GCAP meeting should focus on introducing all relevant parties and outlining the scope of work for the coming roughly year-long process. The Consultant will organise a kick-off meeting (KOM) with EBRD, the Green City Officer and the steering committee. This meeting shall serve as the formal start of for the GCAP process. At the meeting, attendees should be introduced to the GCAP and EBRD Green Cities, the Methodology and associated steps. The kick-off meeting should discuss the following:

- Steps and schedule of the development of the GCAP;
- Communication protocols to external stakeholders and public disclosure mechanisms;
- Communication between the Green City Officer, Steering Committee, Consultant, and EBRD;
- Preliminary Stakeholder Engagement Plan;
- Current understanding of the GCAP approval process, to be refined in Step 1.10;
- Types of data required for the indicator database (Steps 2.1.D and 2.1.E); and
- Arrangements for the formal GCAP launch event.

The Consultant should share an outline of the GCAP's schedule for development and the preliminary Stakeholder Engagement Plan with the City prior to the KOM.

1 e.g. religion, ethnicity, indigenous status, literacy, political views, or social status

2 such as people or groups of people who may be more adversely affected by project impacts than others by virtue of characteristics such as their gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity, indigenous status, age (including children, youths and the elderly), physical or mental disability, literacy, political views, or social status.

1.7. Launch event

The second public event should mark the official launch of the GCAP process and should be co-organised with the City and the Consultant. The objective of the event is to announce the City's intention to develop a GCAP, demonstrate its commitment to pursuing environmental and resilience goals, strengthen political commitment and publicise support from the EBRD and the donor community. The participants in the event should be a diverse audience that is as far as possible representative of the City's population

Experience has shown that the event should ideally:

- Include high-level remarks by the mayor or another senior representative. Other statements may be given by relevant national ministries such as the minister or deputy minister of the environment,
- A representative from the embassy of the donor country and the head of the EBRD resident office or equivalent.
- Involve media outlets with the goal of maximising visibility for the event, which should include focus on the donor financing the GCAP.

1.8. First stakeholder engagement: initial discussion on the city's environmental performance

The first stakeholder engagement session can be held in conjunction with the KOM, launch event or as a separate event. The aim of the workshop is to collect views from both internal and external stakeholders on the current situation of the environmental quality, urban planning and infrastructure development of the City. A wide range of participants should be invited to the workshop, including public agencies, utility companies, businesses, NGOs, women's organisations, universities, research and knowledge institutions, as well as international and bilateral organisations conducting similar work in the city. The initial engagement should also highlight perceived vulnerabilities or risks the City faces in terms of maintain quality and consistency of municipal operations. The preliminary stakeholder engagement plan should also be discussed as the session. The format of the engagement session should be agreed with the City.

1.9. Stakeholder engagement plan

The above analysis of stakeholders in Step 1.5, and inputs from the City at KOM in Step 1.6, Launch event in Step 1.7 and first stakeholder engagement session in Step 1.8 should be used to develop a full stakeholder engagement plan (SEP). This is a more detailed version of the preliminary stakeholder engagement plan developed in advance of the kick off meeting. The SEP will help the City communicate with all stakeholders and ensure that the GCAP process is inclusive. The EBRD has developed a *Stakeholder Engagement Guidance* for GCAPs. This document can be used as a guide to defining, structuring and documenting engagement activities. The SEP should include all outputs and information as outlined in the Guidance, including initial information collected for the preliminary SEP and the larger SEP developed after the KOM.

At least a week prior to any stakeholder engagement event, the City should update the stakeholder engagement plan as necessary and publish a brief summary of the upcoming event. This way, the plan becomes a continuous and engaging document, which reflects the GCAP's status.

Stakeholders may be engaged in multiple ways, for example, as members of, or advisers to, the GCAP team or related working groups, through a parallel stakeholder group that works with the GCAP team, or through stakeholder forums organized throughout the planning process with one or more groups. The design of stakeholder involvement, both formal (institutional) and informal, should be outlined clearly in the SEP and their roles should be explored with them directly.

The City should publish the stakeholder engagement plan, and make it publicly available. The SEP should provide stakeholders with an overview of parties involved in the GCAP process, as well as timing for upcoming stakeholder engagement events and the format of those events, and finalisation of GCAP milestones

GCAP Approach to Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholders are the persons, groups and/or organisations who:

- are directly and/or indirectly affected by the GCAP development or outcomes;
- have an interest in the GCAP development or outcomes;
- have the potential to influence GCAP development or outcomes or City operations.

Stakeholder engagement in this context refers to the disclosure of information, consultation and general participation of stakeholders in the development of the GCAP. It is recognised as a key part of informed decision making and good governance and will add value to the GCAP process through capturing views of people affected by or interested in the GCAP; assist with validating data from other sources; develop understanding of stakeholders rights and responsibilities and enhance trust, local ownership and acceptance – leading to the development of a sustainable GCAP.

The key principles of meaningful stakeholder engagement are:

- those who are affected by a decision have a right to be involved in the decision-making process i.e. those likely to be affected by implementation of the GCAP should be involved in the development of the plan;
- it commences early in the process at such a time when contributions from stakeholders can still influence the decisions;
- information and opportunities to influence decisions are provided to stakeholders in an accessible and timely manner, and via a range of different channels in order to considering the differing needs of stakeholders and, to allow meaningful participation;
- it is inclusive in representation of views (with a focus on securing inputs from women and vulnerable or hard-to-reach groups) and free of manipulation, interference, coercion, intimidation and retaliation;
- feedback is provided to stakeholders on how stakeholders' their contributions were considered in the process.

Considerations for Gender and Economic Inclusion

The GCAP Team should ensure participation of both women and men, and equal treatment of all vulnerable groups (i.e. elderly, migrants, children, minorities, etc.). They should be particularly attuned to the challenges and obstacles faced by vulnerable and disadvantaged groups, who may face disproportionate barriers to economic opportunities, and take special measures to provide these with equal economic opportunities throughout the GCAP development, implementation and monitoring process.

In addition, the GCAP Team should ensure a balanced participation and gender equality in all aspects of the GCAP development, enabling active representation and participation of all genders, with particular efforts made to engage women and LGBTQI communities in management, dialogue and workshop participation. The GCAP Team should also analyse the different needs of all genders when it comes to access to services and jobs in the city

1.10. Summarise Prepare and Organise steps

To complete Step 1 of the GCAP Methodology – Prepare and Organise, the GCAP team should summarise the decisions, outputs and results of Steps 1.1 – 1.10. This summary helps to establish the foundation for working procedures the GCAP will benefit from during its development, and outlines requirements the GCAP will need to fulfil for approval. The summary should include:

- i. GCAP Approval Process - a formal, legal approval process for the GCAP should be finalised and accepted by the City. The Consultant should prepare and submit a report outlining the milestones, timing, and key requirements for the GCAP's ultimate approval, including potential SEA procedures, by the City Council. The approval process section of the Inception Report should also detail a process for incorporating the GCAP's outcomes into the City's municipal budget, investment plan or equivalent document.
- ii. SEA requirement - The Consultant should determine if the City will require a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), or an equivalent document, to be submitted with the GCAP, as part of the approval of the GCAP its approval process and timeline;
- iii. Names and contact details of the Green City Officer. Names, titles and sectors covered by the Steering Committee members.

2. Identify and prioritise challenges

The next phase in developing a GCAP involves using the pressure-state-response framework to identify and prioritise a city's environmental challenges. Through consultation with key stakeholders, these challenges are then translated into Green City priorities.

Upon completion of these steps, the City will have established its Green City baseline, which documents its current environmental performance, as well as resilience to vulnerabilities and risks, and identifies a set of Green City challenges that the City will address through its GCAP.

The following is an overview of steps that the Consultant should take in collaboration with the City to ensure the successful identification and prioritisation of Green City challenges, concluding the first half of the GCAP process and laying the foundation for the Green City action-planning phase to follow.

2.1. Green City Baseline

2.1.A. Policy and Urban Framework

When beginning this Step, it is important to consider the international / regional / national and sub-national contexts, including political, legal and financial conditions, issues, trends and policies that may affect the GCAP. This requires mapping out relevant political and legal conditions, as well as emerging issues and policies relating to environment and resilience. This work should build on the policy review conducted by the EBRD in Step 1.2. The findings from this exercise should be consolidated in an internal framework report, detailing the following:

- i. **Policy Mapping:** a summary of the past, current, and proposed plans, policies, studies and initiatives to promote sustainable urban development and support urban resilience in the City. This includes documents prepared by the City, as well as the other public authorities (including the national and regional government) and organisations (such as industrial groups, development agencies, and any significant community initiatives). The policies reviewed should cover sectors relevant to the GCAP, including water and wastewater, urban transport, energy production and consumption, buildings, energy, solid waste, climate resilience, and urban regeneration, and education, health care, natural capital and food systems where deemed relevant. Identify areas where planning and policy measures are insufficient and/or ineffective. The analysis should include an assessment of the extent to which plans, policies, studies and initiatives have been implemented, the effectiveness, and areas for improvement.
- ii. **NDC Assessment:** An overview of the Nationally Determined Contributions of the national government pursuant to the Paris Agreement. The assessment should highlight how the targets and priorities agreed in the relevant NDC link to urban environmental performance and the City's operations.
- iii. **Jurisdictions and responsible authorities:** a summary of the City's jurisdiction of influence and management over specific environmental or infrastructure sectors. Identify organisations or public authorities responsible for or that have influence over the municipal sectors covered in the GCAP (transport, energy, water, etc.) to ensure responsibilities for GCAP actions are clear.
- iv. **Financial analysis and municipal budget:** a summary of the City's fiscal autonomy and capacity including its financial stability (including credit-worthiness if relevant).The analysis

should include financial information of revenues and expenditures of the municipal budget over the most recent three-year period. Information collected should include inter alia: a balance sheet and cash flow for the city's finances; revenues delineated by major sources and sectors; operating expenditure by major costs and sectors; and annual investment in new or improved infrastructure. Sources of additional finance, being from national entities, private co-finance or donors should also be identified. The analysis should identify a City's capacity to invest in potential Green City actions over the coming five years.

- v. **Assessment of social and economic conditions:** Describe the socio-economic and demographic landscape in the City with the goal of identifying any social and economic issues that could influence urban environmental performance. The information should be collected from input from stakeholder engagement and existing reports and articles. Findings should be supported by quantitative data.

The Consultant should look at the current and projected conditions in the City that will influence the demand for and operation of municipal services, as well as impact urban level environmental performance. To conduct this analysis, the Consultant should collect information relating to current conditions as well as projections out to 2050 on:

- Demographics: city-level population data including – inter alia – gender, age structure, disabilities, nationality, and other relevant groups. The Consultant should also identify key social conditions and trends influencing the operations of urban sectors;
- Economic: city-level economic productivity and growth, per capita and household economic data, key economic trends, and employment trends and levels of education in the city level (by gender, and other groups – youth, people with disabilities, etc.).

- vi. **Assessment of climatic conditions:** Describe the city and regional climatic conditions relation to temperature, precipitation, water resource and frequency of extreme weather events. The Consultant should look at the current and projected conditions in the City that will influence the demand for and operation of municipal services, as well as impact urban level environmental performance. To conduct this analysis, the Consultant should collect information relating to current conditions as well as projections out to 2050.

- vii. **Gender and vulnerable population representation and participation in city development:** The Consultant should also assess the extent to which men, women and vulnerable groups have equal economic and other opportunities in the City's governance and socio-economic framework, and their representation in a City's decision-making and governance processes. The assessment should consider institutional, political and legal barriers women and vulnerable groups, who may face disproportionate barriers to economic opportunities, face to participate in the City's infrastructure development. The assessment should answer whether there is political support within the municipal government towards vulnerable groups and gender-inclusive policies and approaches, and if the City has already developed such approaches. If yes, the assessment will review these policy approaches, and will ensure that this assessment builds on any urban policy previously developed. It should also consider a City's capacity to develop and implement gender-responsive policies and measures.

2.1.B. Map city resilience based on risks and vulnerabilities

A city's pathway to a greener future is dependent on its ability to implement that vision while mitigating risks affecting its current and future functioning. As such, understanding the risk landscape is crucial for supporting the implementation and long-term resilience of the GCAP. This step involves performing a Risk and Vulnerability Analysis (RVA), supported by inputs from a diverse range of stakeholders. This analysis articulates a city's risks and vulnerabilities to either address in the present or design for in the future, both in terms of exposed populations and assets. The RVA uses the following process:

- i. **List hazards relevant to the City** – these include environmental (physical and climatic), technological, and socio-economic or anthropogenic hazards to identify that affect a city and its citizens. Not all hazards will have an impact on green outcomes, thus those with connections to emissions, climate resilience or environmental quality should be prioritised. This analysis should include environmental considerations, such as current and future climate conditions, as well as considerations under objectives such as resilience, economic opportunity, and public health, amongst others.
- ii. **Identify impacts on critical urban systems and services** – the City should identify those systems, assets or infrastructure that are already under stress or are likely to be disturbed by further stresses and shocks. These critical areas should then be mapped against the current and future hazards identified earlier to refine which areas are particularly exposed.
- iii. **Assess vulnerabilities** – this considers the sensitivity of systems and assets, and communities and people to expected impacts and their respective adaptive capacity. First, the assessment considers the adaptive capacity of the critical urban systems and services identified in the previous step. Those systems or assets with limited ability to adapt should be denoted as more vulnerable. Second, the assessment identifies communities and persons, including their locations, exposed to hazards and impacts identified in the previous two steps. In identifying vulnerable communities, the assessment should consider opportunities to promote gender equality and economic inclusion. The assessment then classifies the vulnerability of certain communities based on their sensitivity and capacity to adapt to expected impacts.
- iv. **Analyse and prioritise risks and vulnerabilities** – prioritise the risks by considering their extent or scope of impact, and likelihood of occurring. This step should involve stakeholder outreach to fully articulate the potential impacts from certain risks occurring. This final step should result in a clear prioritisation and consolidated set of risks a city should consider to identify its Green City challenges (Step 2.3) and develop its Green City Actions (Step 3.2 and 3.3.C).

2.1.C. Smart Maturity Assessment

Cities increasingly rely on digitalisation of municipal services to support more effective and targeted operation, maintenance and planning. The Smart Maturity Assessment takes into consideration the extent to which a City has taken advantage of and incorporated smart measures into their operations to date. The following steps should be included in the assessment in the context of urban infrastructure and municipal services:

- Collect and analyse data on provision, quality, and use of IT infrastructure available in the City, including but not limited to, broadband, 4G, 5G and public WIFI.
- Evaluate the extent to which the City has integrated and benefitted from the provision smart technologies in of urban infrastructure and municipal services to date.
- List and analyse municipal strategies if any to promote the use of smart technologies.

- Evaluate the maturity and the readiness of the City to expand the use of smart technologies. In another word, assess the appropriate level of technologies the City should invest in for the next 5 years, and, if relevant, suggest how governance structure and/or strategies should be set up.

The findings of the assessment should support identifying or recommending appropriate smart technologies for each GCAP action to be prepared as part of Step 3.2.B in order to enhance the potential environmental outcomes or to improve efficiencies of managing urban infrastructure and services. Information should be collected disaggregated by gender where possible.

2.1.D. Map environmental performance (pressure and state indicators)

The next step is to map the City's environmental performance by collecting and benchmarking state and pressure indicators to international standards. These complement the response indicators to be collected in Step 2.1.E. Together, the state, pressure and response indicators form the full Green Cities indicator database. This assessment is conducted through a traffic light screening³ of a prescribed set of indicators (Annex 2 presents the thresholds for this benchmarking exercise). The GCAP set of state and pressure indicators includes 35 core indicators. In the event that core indicators are not available, elective indicators may be used to provide a more thorough analysis.

Although the set of PSR indicators provides a useful framework for evaluating urban environmental performance, there may be amendments or additional indicators proposed that address areas of critical concern for a city. While the City and the Consultant should strive to compile information for all indicators, a minimum of 85 percent of core indicators has proven sufficient.

Where quantitative information is not available for specific indicators, further analysis and stakeholder engagement will be necessary to fill these gaps with more qualitative information. Local businesses, NGOs and knowledge institutions can provide support to address gaps in the indicators assessment.

Once this information has been gathered, state and pressure indicators marked red in the traffic light screening can be used to develop an initial list of challenges to consider. If the traffic light screening results in a large number of red-flagged indicators, trend analysis⁴ can be used to prioritise among them (for example, selecting only red-flagged indicators with declining trends). If the traffic light screening results in no or very few red-flagged indicators, amber indicators may be considered, using trend analysis to prioritise among them. These initially identified challenge areas will be further refined through subsequent analyses and stakeholder engagement.

³ *TRAFFIC LIGHT SCREENING

A traffic light screening is applied to each indicator to compare a city's environmental performance against international standards.

- Green light = good performance, in line with international standards
- Amber light = insufficient performance, cause for concern
- Red light = low performance, in need of critical attention

⁴ Trend analysis looks at the performance of a specific indicator over a given period. For example, has the local air quality improved or declined in the last decade?

2.1.E. Map policy performance (response indicators)

Once initial environmental challenges and risks have been identified, the next step is to collect and assess response indicators to evaluate whether the City and other public authorities have sufficient plans, policies, studies and initiatives in place to address environmental and urban resilience challenges matched against an established set of EBRD Green Cities indicators measuring city responses to urban challenges. This analysis should draw from the Policy Mapping exercise carried out in Step 2.1.A. This exercise should be conducted as part of assessing the response indicators⁵ using the traffic light approach.

Given that the response indicators are largely qualitative, the response gaps they reveal (for example, lack of investment or lack of regulations) will be general in nature. However, the response indicators assessment should still aim to indicate the presence, absence and quality of relevant policies.

2.1.F. Complete technical assessment and identify Green City challenges

The traffic light indicator screening provides a high-level picture of a city's environmental and resilience performance. The next step is to perform a deep technical assessment to uncover why the indicators appear as they do, and why risks and vulnerabilities may exist.

The following should be included:

- Descriptions of current and projected urban environmental and infrastructure performance and management. Sectors included in the pressure and state indicators should be assessed, but other sectors may be added as appropriate for the context of the City. Use both quantitative and qualitative analysis to describe the status of operations in each sector.

Examples of the supplemental information to collect to inform the status of municipal operations includes:

- modal split for transport, number of transport operators
 - total MSW generated, and status of waste management practices
 - energy production and consumption information by primary energy source and final energy usage
 - total urban footprint, and area of parks and green space
 - proportion of buildings by type and use
 - status of reserves and sources of water
- The analysis should examine both the current conditions and operations of urban ecosystem services and infrastructure performance, and projections and trends to 2050 for the information above.
 - In addition to these operational considerations, gender considerations with respect to the status of infrastructure performance and management should be assessed. The analysis should also consider the extent to which there is female representation and economic opportunities in the workforce of the sectors considered.
 - Drivers of indicator performance: In the case of state indicators, related pressures and their level of influence may be explored: transport, land use, buildings, energy, solid waste, water cycle

⁵ Annex 2 presents the Green Cities indicators' thresholds for this benchmarking exercise.

management and industries and additional sectors, such as food systems, health, education and social care as agreed with the City. For each pressure, a description of the current quality of its infrastructure should be highlighted. For instance, when exploring the city's transport infrastructure, the following may be considered: modality, private vehicle fleet, resident behaviour patterns, public and commercial fleet, congestion, road safety and infrastructure needs.

- Existing management approaches: Here, the body responsible for managing related pressure indicators should be determined (national, regional, municipal governments). Policies, directives, standards and legal frameworks governing or affecting the indicator should also be explored.

For example, in the case of air quality, is there a Directive in place to regulate ambient air pollution, establish fuel quality standards and reduce emissions across sectors? Does the City have any action plan or strategy that has identified air quality as one of its main action areas? Does the City follow national directives when it comes to air quality?

- Drivers of risks and vulnerabilities: potential links between identified risks and vulnerabilities to sectors covered in the indicators should be identified. The analysis should seek to understand what may drive such risks and vulnerabilities, and where there is overlap with a city's environmental concerns.

Following the technical assessment, Green City challenges should be identified. These challenges should pinpoint areas of concern with respect to the current quality of environmental assets, potential future pressures from development, climate change, areas to improve a city's resilience, gaps in policy or strategies in relevant sectors.

2.2. Stakeholder engagement: prioritising Green City challenges

A stakeholder consultation should be organised to present the findings from Step 2.1 – Green City Baseline. Ideally, stakeholder consultations should be interactive, collaborative and cross-departmental.

The goal of the stakeholder engagement is to translate the Technical Assessment's identified Green City challenges, with respect to urban environmental performance, into a shortened list of specific agreed priorities for the GCAP to address. Experts and citizen representatives involved should confirm or amend the relevance of Green City challenges that have been identified. This final agreed list will be the Green City challenges integrated into the GCAP.

Challenges stemming from core and elective indicators marked red in the traffic light screening should take priority. Challenges relating to indicators marked amber but trending towards red can also be prioritised. While the traffic light approach can help guide the prioritisation of Green City challenges, ultimately the City and stakeholders identified in Step 1.5 can confirm key challenges and identify issues that are absent from the GCAP analysis thus far.

Engagement efforts should highlight the analyses completed as part of the Green City Baseline, demonstrating how the challenges identified stem from both the indicators analysis and technical assessment, as well as the policy, climate, socio-economic, gender and economic inclusion, risk and vulnerability and smart assessments conducted. Summarised findings across these assessments should be presented to stakeholders to contextualise the list of challenges.

Stakeholders should then select a short, high-level list of priority environmental challenges stemming from the Green City challenges. This list of priority environmental challenges identifies which of the thematic areas under the GCAP's state indicators should be a focus for a city in its sustainable development. Cities should choose from the follow thematic areas to prioritise: air quality, water quality and availability, soil quality, land use and green space, and biodiversity. All cities must designate climate mitigation and climate adaptation as priority environmental challenges in addition to those selected.

2.3. Complete Green City baseline and prioritisation of Green City Challenges

The results of all activities in this chapter constitute the Green City baseline, which documents the City's current environmental performance and related vulnerabilities to certain risks related, including the governance and policy frameworks in place that affect it. The final output of the Green City baseline identifies a set of Green City challenges, and high-level list of priority environmental challenges, that the City will address through its GCAP.

As a final step in this phase, the baseline should be reviewed and confirmed by the City's Green City Officer and Steering Committee, ensuring buy-in from key stakeholders and experts. The Green City baseline does not necessarily require formal council approval at this stage, but it still offers a platform for political debate, review and recommendations.

3. Plan Green City actions

The GCAP process can be divided into two phases. The first establishes the Green City baseline, described in the previous chapter, which provides a comprehensive picture of where the city is today and which areas need attention. The second phase, planning Green City actions, lays out a plan to improve a city's environmental performance through targeted actions.

The following is an overview of this second phase.

Steps to formulating Green City actions

VISION

(15 years)

Where would we like the city to be in 15 years?

STRATEGIC GOALS

(10 to 15 years)

Which specific areas do we need to address across sectors to achieve this vision?

LONG LIST OF ACTIONS

(1 TO 5 YEARS)

Which actions do we need to implement to reach our strategic goals for each sector?

MEDIUM TERM TARGETS

(5 TO 10 YEARS)

Which targets should we aim to achieve through our actions?

Final Green City actions

(1 to 5 years)

3.1 Develop a vision

3.1.A. Establish the GCAP structure

GCAPs can be structured according to the municipal sectors set out in the PSR indicators (for example, transport, water, buildings) or by specific cross-cutting themes (such as climate mitigation or resource efficiency). The selected structure should be agreed with the City and should promote a cross-sectoral, integrated approach to sustainable urban development.

3.1.B. Stakeholder engagement: Green City vision and strategic goals

Using the Green City baseline as a starting point, the next step is to hold a stakeholder workshop to define the City's vision and strategic goals for green development.

Set vision for Green City development (15 years)

Here the city and stakeholders can develop a single, overarching vision for its Green City development, or multiple visions relating to specific sectors or thematic areas. While environmental improvement is the primary objective of the GCAP, the Green City vision should take economic inclusion and resilience considerations into account. The vision should consider the transition path to a green economy as well as the contribution that green actions can make to overall city resilience.

The resulting vision should be used to guide GCAP development and the selection of Green City actions.

Determine strategic goals (10 to 15 years)

Having clarified its vision, the City then needs to set specific strategic goals for Green City development aimed at achieving this vision. These goals may relate to environmental improvements or socio-economic and resilience considerations. This will set the basis for a distance to-goal comparison over a 10 to 15 year period and should follow the same structure as the City's vision. (following the structure decided in 3.1.A). If there are multiple visions, there must be at least one strategic goal for every vision. Specific PSR indicators may also be referenced in the strategic goals to help establish a measurable target.

Example relationship between Green City vision, strategic goals, medium-term targets and actions



3.2. Select Green City actions

3.2.A. Review existing Green City initiatives and responses

After the City has defined its vision(s) and strategic goals, the next step is to identify Green City actions in collaboration with the Consultant. Before developing a list of new actions, existing responses and initiatives addressing Green City challenges should be compiled.

This should draw from the Policy and Urban Framework Report and should identify investment, policy and other initiatives the City already has planned for the next one to five years.

3.2.B. Select Green City actions (1 to 5 years)

Following the assessment of existing responses and policy gaps, an initial longlist of Green City actions may be developed. These actions should enable the City to achieve its strategic goals and vision. Green City actions may be categorised as follows:

- **Policy:** actions concerned with legislative, regulatory or standard-setting measures
- **Investments:** actions focused on capital expenditures to improve the environmental performance of local infrastructure
- **Other initiatives:** partnerships, outreach campaigns and other efforts that contribute to strategic goals. Thorough consideration should be given to the scope of each action and the body responsible for executing it. While most actions should pertain to a city's geographic and political jurisdiction, some actions may need to be targeted at the national or regional level. The list of actions developed should be feasible and particularly sensitive to the availability of finance to support the scope of activities identified.

The initial longlist of Green City actions should describe the scope and scale of the proposed initiatives, the main implementing party to be responsible, and an initial estimate of capex range for investments to be refined in later stages.

The initial longlist of Green City actions should also be evaluated to consider opportunities to maximise co-benefits. In order to determine where resilience co-benefits exist, the outcomes of the RVA in the Policy and Urban Framework report should be used (i.e. list of prioritised risks developed at step 2.1.B). In order to determine where gender equality or economic inclusion co-benefits exist, the outcomes of the assessment of social and economic conditions in step 2.1.A should be considered. Actions should also consider opportunities to benefit from smart measures and digitalisation.

EBRD has developed an EBRD Green Cities policy tool, available on ebrdgreencities.com. The tool presents a comprehensive menu of possible urban green policies and relevant examples. Cities can use the tool as inspiration for measures and best practices to consider in developing their own Green City actions.

3.3. Prioritise Green City actions

3.3.A. Stakeholder engagement: prioritisation of Green City actions

Once the initial longlist of Green City actions has been developed, the City should hold stakeholder consultations to check their relevance, revise, and refine them in collaboration with the Consultant. The format of the consultation should reflect the City's needs, in the context of long-term resilience and sustainability.

As an outcome of this process, the Green City actions will be selected to respond to priority environmental challenges, and also evaluated for the degree to which they address exposure to identified risks and build the adaptive capacity of city systems or groups of people.

The Green City actions may relate to large infrastructural projects, for example in the waste or water sectors, but also to smaller, lower-cost but equally valuable measures such as internal municipal programs or community engagement and education campaigns. They may be identified within a departmental or sectoral portfolio or at a cross-sectoral level.

The proposal for the Green City actions can be developed in a variety of ways, by drawing on: city staff and stakeholders' experiences and ideas; case studies and best practices; problem-solving and mind-mapping techniques in workshop format.

3.3.B. Impact and cost implications of actions

As a next step, the implications of the refined list of Green City actions should be assessed. Impacts on the City's annual expenditure and capital expenditure (capex) budgets should be estimated and presented separately for each action proposed in the GCAP. In an effort to further prioritise the actions, benefits and savings should be estimated to allow for a thorough political consideration of proposed actions.

Potential sources of finance for the list of actions should also be identified. Traditional sources, such as municipal and national budget loans from international financial institutions, should be considered. In addition, funding sources such as external donors and private-sector financing should also be explored.

Beyond financial commitments, each action should be evaluated to determine its potential benefits. Within the green dimension, impacts such as emissions, energy, material or water savings should be estimated. Beyond green, each action should detail its job creation potential and other socio-economic dimensions where information is available.

This analysis should provide the City with sufficient detail and clarity to finalise the list of actions in the next step. For each Green City Action a group of relevant actors for implementation should be identified. Specific attention should also be given to those actions that can begin implementation within the first year of the GCAP's 5-year plan.

For more information please see the box entitled 'impact and cost implications of actions' below.

Impact and Cost Implications of Actions

Based on international experience, the following are commonly provided in draft GCAPs.

- Estimated capex costs and annual implementation costs per action.
- Estimated environmental benefits per action, which include:
 - physical impact of the action such as GHG emissions savings, water savings, individuals benefiting, materials savings or reductions, primary energy savings, and energy intensity reductions;
 - climate resilience benefits in light of projected climate change: increased water availability, increased energy availability, increased agricultural potential, increased human health/productivity, reduced damage and disruption
- Estimated economic benefits per action, which include:
 - potential reductions in operating expenditures (opex)
 - potential job creation
 - the estimated cost of pre-investment (feasibility and impact studies, and so on)
- Indicative implementation and an operational timeline.
- Total estimated annual budget for the GCAP, including all actions, for the entire duration of the GCAP and per year.

3.3.C. Map actions against challenges, risks and vulnerabilities identified, and co-benefits

The Green City Action Plan should address the Green City challenges identified in the Green City baseline, as well as risks and vulnerabilities highlighted. To confirm the Plan achieves this objective, each Green City action should be mapped against relevant challenges, risks and vulnerabilities.

The analysis should expand the information and detail provided for each Green City action to include the Green City challenge and high-level priority environmental challenge it addresses. Additionally, the description of each action should look beyond purely green outcomes to consider potential resulting co-benefits in other dimensions. As such, each action should connect to its ability to address the risks and vulnerabilities identified as part of Step 2.1.B and prioritised in Steps 2.2 and 2.3.

Last, actions should seek to maximise co-benefits along with pursuing green outcomes. This analysis should consider and affirm the extent to which actions contribute to ends such as improved urban resilience, recovery from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, economic benefits and social improvements. The table below provides an example framework to analyse actions' co-benefits. The co-benefits of all actions should be documented.

Dimensions for evaluating Green City Action Benefits				
Primary	Co-benefits			
Green benefits	Resilience benefits	COVID-19 response benefits	Economic benefits	Social benefits
Reduced GHG emissions	Improved public health	Reduced impact on respiratory system	Job creation	Enhanced gender equality
Improved energy efficiency	Increased access to sustainable food	Retaining the benefits citizens have experienced from reduced pollution	Increased local business opportunities	Improved social equality
Improved climate resilience	Increased access to sustainable energy	Enhance possibilities for social distancing	Revenue generation	Reduced poverty
Increased green and recreational spaces	Increased access to sustainable and safe mobility	Preventing or mitigating the effects of future pandemics	Cost savings	Improved, community engagement
Improved air, water or soil quality	Improved access to education services			Improved safety (e.g. road safety, GBVH, crime, etc)
Reduced pollution, including noise	Improved supply chain security			Training/ education opportunities for women, elderly, youth and minorities
Improved or maintained ecosystem services	Increased access to sustainable housing			Barrier free access / improved access to services
	Increased access to water / sanitation			Vulnerable population addressed

3.3.D. Determine medium-term targets (5-10 years)

Setting benchmarks on a 5 to 10 year timescale, medium-term targets create links between the short-term Green City actions and longer-term strategic goals. As such, a medium-term target can relate to a single Green City action or a group of actions.

3.3.E. Stakeholder engagement: finalise Green City actions

The detailed list of Green City actions should be approved through a final round of stakeholder engagement. In addition to stakeholders identified in Step 1.5 and engaged in Step 1.8, Step 2.2, Step

3.1.B, and Step 3.3.A, citizen representatives should be given the opportunity to determine the relevance of proposed Green City actions. Public opinion and perspectives from NGOs are particularly important, as it is generally easier to undertake actions that citizens view favourably.

3.4. Finalise Green City Action Plan

3.4.A. Resource implications for implementing the GCAP

Throughout the GCAP process, areas in which the City lacks the capacity to undertake and monitor the implementation of Green City actions may become apparent. The GCAP should identify such capacity gaps and offer a list of general resources and capacity-building measures to support GCAP implementation.

These measures can broadly be divided into:

- Human resources: additional staff required and capacity building activities needed for relevant stakeholders
- Public education and awareness measures
- Data collection and monitoring measures: areas where data quality may need to improve to better assess environmental performance.

As with the GCAP actions, cost estimates for these capacity-building measures should be included.

3.4.B. Monitoring and reporting

A monitoring and reporting plan for overseeing the implementation and eventual impacts of Green City actions should be developed within the GCAP. Further details on the monitoring and reporting requirements for the GCAP are provided in Step 4.

3.4.C. Draft GCAP

Findings from the Green City action-planning process should be compiled into the final GCAP. The GCAP's language should reflect that this is the City's document and should be written as such, including using first-person pronouns. The final document should also include a brief summary of how stakeholder feedback has been incorporated into the GCAP and how the GCAP contributes to strengthening the overall resilience of the City considering projections to 15 years ahead and reflecting the Green City vision.

As certain information may be deemed too sensitive to be published, the Consultant should agree on the final contents of the GCAP with the City.

3.4.D. Present GCAP for approval

All GCAPs are submitted for approval to the city council or equivalent. Appropriate steps should be taken to ensure that the GCAP meets all requirements for approval. Public disclosure of the GCAP for comment is often required, while the *Stakeholder Engagement Guidance* for GCAPs requires consultation on the draft GCAP before approval as a minimum level of disclosure. The final GCAP should be published on the City's website for public access and should include a short summary of

how the comments from the public disclosure period have been taken into account. This step is also important as it ensures buy-in for the Green City actions proposed.

If a SEA is required, the SEA should follow the procedures necessary to enable a GCAP's subsequent approval. Ideally, there will be no need to carry out separate GCAP and SEA consultation processes and a unified consultation approach can be designed.

Upon the conclusion of this step, the City will have clearly defined its vision, strategic goals, medium-term targets and Green City actions. With responsibilities clearly delineated and buy-in secured from relevant stakeholders, the City can then move to the implementation and monitoring step of the GCAP.

4 Implement and monitor

A GCAP provides cities with a blueprint for transforming their local environment and addressing their most pressing challenges. After defining its Green City vision, strategic goals, medium-term targets and actions, the City is now ready to implement and monitor the GCAP.

4.1. Implementation and monitoring

Continuous monitoring of all projects and measures in the GCAP is an integral part of implementation. By regularly and methodically tracking all Green City actions and their impacts on the environment, the City can determine whether the GCAP is progressing as planned and contributing as expected to the established goals.

Successful monitoring requires two key components:

- Implementation monitoring plan: This tracks the status and progress of the GCAP projects being implemented.
- Impact monitoring plan: This measures the impact of the GCAP project and policies on the City's environmental and resilience performance. Part of the impact-monitoring plan should be the continuous observation of the risk and vulnerability landscape and the extent to which the Green city actions are contributing towards resilience.

The implementation and monitoring structure should be integrated into the GCAP, reviewed and approved by the City as part of the overall GCAP package.

4.1.A. Define responsibilities

The first step to effective implementation is to clearly define a key person responsible for overseeing all Green City actions.

Primary responsibility for implementation and subsequent monitoring should preferably be assigned to the Green City Officer, who has the authority to successfully coordinate with all relevant municipal departments. This person will serve as the implementation and monitoring coordinator, as well as having the role of advocating, facilitating and fostering the inclusion of the GCAP in other City relevant planning instruments. This process should also be endorsed and supported by the Political Champion.

Within each municipal department, a project leader should be appointed to manage internal staff responsible for:

- overseeing the implementation of specific actions
- reporting on the progress of implementation
- collecting the required impact data.

Each department should set budgets and timescales for delivering assigned actions. The assigned departmental staff should provide regular reports on the progress of implementation and environmental impact to the City's implementation and monitoring coordinator. The results of this will inform the planning of subsequent stages of each action, including amendments to timescales, resources and the budget, as needed.

Project leaders should also aim to align GCAP monitoring with other planned City activities and initiatives to prevent duplication and improve efficiency. For example, the results from the GCAP monitoring can be used for urban planning, disaster risk resilience and sustainability plans.

4.1.B. Develop implementation monitoring plan

Implementation monitoring should be done on both a short-term and long-term basis. The implementation monitoring plan should list all Green City actions and clearly indicate project status and milestones (started/ not started, complete/not complete).

The plan provides an opportunity to assess implementation by:

- comparing implementation efforts with original goals and targets: Are the actions being implemented?
- determining whether sufficient progress is being made towards achieving expected results: Are the targets being reached?
- determining whether implementation is progressing according to schedule.
- determining whether implementation is progressing in a different direction than planned (link to Step 4.1 E)

4.1.C. Develop impact monitoring plan

While implementation monitoring tracks the progress of Green City actions, impact monitoring measures how effective these actions have been in achieving the environmental targets and goals.

These targets, goals and related actions all derive from the environmental challenges identified previously in the GCAP through the pressure-state-response (PSR) framework. The PSR framework therefore provides a useful model for categorising indicators in the impact monitoring plan. For example, the following pressure, state and response indicators could be employed when monitoring the effectiveness of extending a local bus system:

- Pressure: whether private transport has decreased.
- State: whether air pollution impacts have decreased.
- Response: how many buses and new connections have been introduced.

For each of the indicators to be tracked, the impact monitoring plan should also identify the municipal department responsible for providing the required data.

It is important to note that while some impacts can be detected immediately, others, such as improved air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, can only be monitored in the long term.

4.1.D. Set data collection standards

To help project leaders manage data correctly, the monitoring coordinator should set guidelines for the recording and storage of data. Since the GCAP indicators should be measured against global benchmarks, the data guidelines, including, where possible, gender-disaggregated data for population

/ workforce etc. and indicators should also include definitions of terms within the local context and clarify data privacy principles.

Data should be collected across all relevant PSR indicators for each action to measure progress relative to the Green City baseline. Relevant indicators for each action will have previously been identified in the GCAP but may be expanded as new indicators and data collection tools become available. A full list of all indicators can be found in Annex 2.

When drafting the monitoring and implementation plans, consultants should use the templates developed by the EBRD.

4.1.E. Evaluate and amend GCAP implementation including timelines and plans

Unexpected events can change the GCAP implementation plan. For example, an extreme weather event, an earthquake or a global pandemic could mean that the City needs to prioritise repairing critical infrastructure over a GCAP-recommended investment.

The City could also delay implementing an action or decide to amend one. For example, instead of purchasing 100 electric buses to meet its air-quality and transport-sector targets, the City might only purchase 50.

The implementation and monitoring coordinator is responsible for updating and revising the implementation and impact monitoring plans to reflect these changes. The Green City Officer should work with relevant departments and stakeholders within the City to ensure that any updates to the monitoring plans receive appropriate approvals.

The path to becoming a Green City is continuous; through periodically monitoring the progress of the GCAP, the City can inform the public on what was accomplished and adjust their visions, strategic goals and actions as needed.

Annex 1. List of stakeholder engagements

Initial discussion on the City's environmental performance

Collect views from both internal and external stakeholders on the quality of the City's environment, infrastructure, urban development plans, disaster risk reduction or preparedness plans (see Step 1.8)

Prioritising Green City challenges

Present the findings from the Policy and Urban Framework Report and Technical Assessment (see Step 2.2)

Green City vision and strategic goals

Define the City's vision and strategic goals for green development (see Step 3.1.B)

Prioritising Green City actions

Prioritise and refine the longlist of Green City actions (see Step 3.3.A)

Finalising Green City actions

Approve final detailed list of Green City actions (see Step 3.3.E)

Annex 2. Pressure-State-Response Indicators for Green Cities

Green City indicators are structured according to the pressure-state-response framework. In total, there are 114 indicators, 35 of which are core indicators. An additional sub-classification between core indicators and elective (optional) indicators is proposed for the state and pressure categories only. This is to narrow down the number of indicators used for the benchmarking and prioritisation process. The core indicators are in blue and the optional indicators corresponding to each core indicator are in white and listed in terms of priority. In other words, if Indicator 1 is not available, Indicator 1.1 should be the first choice to replace it, then 1.2, and so on. For each indicator, it is important to collect multiple years of data to assess and document whether the trend is upward, neutral or downward.

State indicators

Topic	Indicator	Unit	Benchmarks			Source of benchmark	Additional indicator (example)
QUALITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS							
AIR	1	Average annual concentration of PM _{2.5}	µg/m ³	< 10 (annual)	10–20 (annual)	> 20 (annual)	Based on WHO • Sources of air pollution (in %) for each particle (PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} , SO ₂ , NO _x)
	1.1	Average annual concentration of PM ₁₀	µg/m ³	< 20 (annual)	20–50 (annual)	> 50 (annual)	
	1.2	Average daily concentration of SO ₂	µg/m ³	< 20 (24 hour)	20–50 (24 hour)	> 50 (24 hour)	
	1.3	Average annual concentration of NO _x	µg/m ³	< 40 (annual)	40–80 (annual)	> 80 (annual)	
WATER BODIES	2	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) in rivers and lakes	mg/L	< 2	2–4	> 4	Based on EEA • Sources of water pollution (in surface and ground water)
	2.1	Ammonium (NH ₄) concentration in rivers and lakes	µg/L	< 150	150–200	> 200	
DRINKING WATER	3	Percentage of water samples in a year that comply with national	%	> 97	90–97	< 90	IADB

		potable water quality standards						
SOIL	4	Number of contaminated sites	CSs / 1000 inh.(or km ²)	< 10	10–20	> 20	Based on EEA	• Sources of soil contamination
	4.1a	Concentration of mercury in soil	mg/kg	< 0.3	0.3 – 10	> 10	Dutch Values	
	4.1b	Concentration of cadmium in soil	mg/kg	< 0.8	0.8 – 12	> 12	Dutch Values	
	4.1c	Concentration of zinc in soil	mg/kg	< 140	140 – 720	> 720	Dutch Values	
	4.2	Concentration of mineral oil in soil (using infrared spectroscopy)	mg/kg	< 50	50 – 5000	> 5000	Dutch Values	
AVAILABILITY OF RESOURCES								
WATER USE	5	Water Exploitation Index	%	< 20	20–40	> 40	Based on EEA	• Total water consumption • Water consumption by sector (domestic, commercial, industrial, agriculture)
LAND USE	6	Open green space area ratio per 100 000 inhabitant	Hectares	> 10	7–10	< 7	IADB	• Share of population within 15 minutes of open green space by foot
	6.1	Share of green space areas within urban limits	%	> 50	30–50	< 30	OECD/ICLEI	
BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEMS	7	Abundance of bird species (all species)	Annual % of change	Positive or stable	Slight decline (of 0%-2%)	Strong decline (> 2%)	OECD / ICLEI	• Sources of biodiversity degradation Biodiversity index of specific species
	7.1	Abundance of other species	Annual % of change	Positive or stable	Slight decline	Strong decline	OECD / ICLEI	
CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS								

MITIGATION (GHG EMISSIONS)	8	Annual CO ₂ equivalent emissions per capita	Tonne / year / capita	< 5	5–10	>10	IADB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total CO₂ emissions • CO₂ emissions by sector
	8.1	Annual CO ₂ emissions per unit of GDP	Tonne / USD of GDP	< 0.35	0.35–0.8	> 0.8	IADB	
ADAPTATION (RESILIENCE TO NATURAL DISASTER RISKS)	9	Estimated economic damage from natural disasters (floods, droughts, earthquakes etc.) as a share of GDP	%	< 0.5	0.5–1	> 1	OECD / ICLEI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Human casualties • Main type of disaster that hit the city
	9.1	Percentage of public infrastructure at risk	%	< 10%	10–20%	> 20%	IADB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Type of infrastructure at risk
	9.2	Percentage of households at risk	%	< 10%	10–20%	> 20%	IADB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Type of household at risk (by income level and by location)

Pressure indicators

Sector	Source of pressure	Indicator	Unit	Benchmarks	Source	
TRANSPORT	Energy efficiency and type of energy used	10	Average age of car fleet (total and by type)	Years	< 6 6–12 > 12	IADB
		10.1	Percentage of diesel cars in total vehicle fleet	%	< 20 20–30 > 30	Based on EEA
		10.2	Fuel standards for light passenger and commercial vehicles	n.a.	EURO 6 EURO 5 EURO 4 or below	OECD / ICLEI
		10.3	Share of total passenger car fleet run by electric, hybrid fuel cell, Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) and Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) energy (total and by type)	%	> 3 1–3 < 1	Based on EEA
	Choice of transport mode	11	Transport modal share in commuting (cars, motorcycles, taxi, bus, metro, tram, bicycle, pedestrian)	%	Private transport < 30% Private transport = 30–50% Private transport > 50%	OECD / ICLEI
		11.1	Transport modal share in total trips	%	Private transport < 30% Private transport = 30–50% Private transport > 50%	OECD / ICLEI
		11.2	Motorisation rate	Number of vehicles per capita	< 0.3 0.3-0.4 > 0.4	IADB
		11.3	Average number of vehicles (cars and motorbikes) per household	Number of vehicles per household	< 0.5 0.5-1 > 1	OECD / ICLEI
		11.4	Kilometres of road dedicated exclusively to public transit per 100 000 population	km	> 40 10–40 < 10	IADB
		11.5	Kilometres of bicycle path per 100 000 population	km	> 25 15–25 < 15	IADB
		11.6	Share of population having access to public transport within 15 min by foot	%	> 80 60–80 < 80	OECD / ICLEI

	11.7	Frequency of bus service	Average number of passage at station per hour, in total bus network	> 30	30–6	< 6	OECD / ICLEI
Road congestion	12	Average travel speed on primary thoroughfares during peak hour	Km/h	> 30	15-30	< 15	IADB
	12.1	Travel speed of bus service on major thoroughfares (daily average)	Km/h	> 25	15-25	<15	EBRD
Resilience of transport systems	13	Interruption of public transport systems in case of disaster	n.a.	Bus and rail transit systems are able to run normally in case of disaster	Bus and rail transit systems are able to run in case of disaster, but with reduced efficiency	Bus and rail transit systems are not able to run in case of disaster	OECD / ICLEI
	13.1	Efficiency of transport emergency systems in case of disaster	n.a.	Emergency transport systems are able to run normally in case of disaster	Emergency transport systems are able to run in case of disaster, but with limited efficiency	Emergency transport systems are not able to run properly in case of disaster	OECD / ICLEI
BUILDINGS	14	Electricity consumption in buildings	kWh / m ²	< 47	47 – 75	> 75	Odyssee, CIBSE, IEA
	14.1	Electricity consumption in residential building	kWh / m ²	< 21	21 – 26	> 26	
	14.2	Electricity consumption in non-residential buildings	kWh / m ²	< 122	122 – 213	> 213	
	15	Heating / cooling consumption in buildings, fossil fuels	kWh / m ²	< 104	104 – 148	> 148	Odyssee, CIBSE, IEA
	15.1	Heating / cooling consumption in residential buildings, fossil fuels	kWh / m ²	< 96	96 – 126	> 126	

		15.2	Heating / cooling consumption in non-residential buildings, fossil fuels	kWh / m ²	< 127	127 – 210	> 210	
	Building standards	15.3	Share of city enterprises with ISO50001/EMAS certification or similar	%	NA	NA	NA	
		15.4	Total value of projects with green building certification as a share of the total value of projects granted a building permit per year	%	> 50	25-50	< 25	OECD / ICLEI
INDUSTRIES		Electricity consumption	16	Electricity consumption in industries, per unit of industrial GDP	kWh / 2010 USD	< 0.3	0.3 - 0.4	> 0.4
	Heat consumption	17	Heat consumption in industries, per unit of industrial GDP	MJ / 2010 USD	< 0.1	0.1 – 0.25	> 0.25	OECD / ICLEI
	Consumption of fossil fuels in industrial processes	18	Heavy metals (Pb) emission intensity of manufacturing industries	kg heavy metals equivalent released per million USD GVA	< 0.02	0.02-0.04	> 0.04	Based on EEA
		18.1	Fossil fuel combustion in industrial processes, per unit of industrial GDP	MJ / USD	< 1.4	1.4 – 2.2	> 2.2	OECD / ICLEI
		18.2	Share of industrial energy consumption from renewable energy	%	> 20	10–20	< 10	OECD / ICLEI
	Industrial waste treatment	19	Share of industrial waste recycled as a share of total industrial waste produced	%	> 95% (90%)	80 – 95% (90%)	< 80%	OECD / ICLEI
	Industrial wastewater	20	Percentage of industrial wastewater that is treated according to applicable national standards	%	> 60	40–60	< 40	OECD / ICLEI
	ENERGY	Electricity provision	21	Share of population with an authorised connection to electricity	%	> 90	70–90	< 70
21.1			Annual average number of electrical interruptions per year, per customer	# / year / customer	< 10	10–13	> 13	IADB

	Thermal comfort provision	22	Share of population with access to heating / cooling	%	> 90	70–90	< 70	OECD / ICLEI
	Renewable energy provision	23	Proportion of total energy derived from RES as a share of total city energy consumption (in TJ; compared to benchmark of 20% (links to EU target))	%	> 20	10–20	< 10	Based on EEA
	Resilience of the electricity network to climatic extremes	24	Average share of population undergoing prolonged power outage in case of climatic extremes over the past 5 years	%	< 10	10–25	> 25	OECD / ICLEI
WATER (SUPPLY, SANITATION, DRAINAGE)	Water consumption	25	Water consumption per capita	L / day / capita	120-200	80–200 or 200-250	< 80; > 250	IADB
		25.1	Water consumption per unit of city GDP	L / day / USD	< 0.022	0.022 – 0.055	> 0.055	
		25.2	Unit of water consumed in power plants, per unit of primary energy generated	l / MW / h	See Annex 9	See Annex 9	See Annex 9	NREL
		25.3	Industrial water consumption as percent of total urban water consumption	%	< 17%	17 – 50%	50%	EBRD
	Efficiency of water supply networks	26	Non-revenue water	%	0–30	30–45	> 45	IADB
		26.1	Annual average of daily number of hours of continuous water supply per household	%	> 20 h/day	12–20 h/day	< 12 h/day	IADB
	Wastewater treatment	27	Percentage of residential and commercial wastewater that is treated according to applicable national standards	%	> 60	40–60	< 40	IADB
		27.1	Percentage of buildings (non-industrial) equipped to reuse grey water	%	> 80	60–80	< 60	OECD / ICLEI
		27.2	Percentage of wastewater from energy generation activities that is treated according to applicable national standards	%	> 60	40–60	< 40	OECD / ICLEI

		28	Percentage of dwellings damaged by the most intense flooding in the last 10 years	%	< 0.5	0.5–3	> 3	IADB
	Resilience to floods	28.1	Annual number of storm water/sewerage overflows per 100km of network length	Number of events per year	< 20	20–50	> 50	OECD / ICLEI
		28.2	Awareness and preparedness to natural disasters	n.a.	Citizens are well aware of natural disaster risk and know how to react	Citizens are aware of natural disaster risk but do not have resilient attitudes	Citizens are not aware of natural disaster risks and do not have resilient attitudes	OECD / ICLEI
SOLID WASTE	Solid waste generation	29	Total solid waste generation per capita	Kg / year / capita	< 300	300–500	> 500	OECD/ ICLEI
		29.1	GDP per domestic material consumption	USD / kg	< 1	1-2.5	> 2.5	Based on OECD (2014)
	Collection of solid waste	30	Share of the population with weekly municipal solid waste (MSW) collection	%	90–100	80–90	< 80	IADB
	Treatment of solid waste	31	Proportion of MSW that is sorted and recycled (total and by type of waste e.g. paper, glass, batteries, PVC, bottles, metals)	%	> 25	15–25	< 15	IADB
		31.1	Percentage of MSW which is disposed of in open dumps, controlled dumps, or bodies of water or is burnt	%	< 10	10–20	> 20	IADB
		31.2	Percentage of MSW landfilled disposed of in EU-compliant sanitary landfills	%	90–100	80–90	< 80	IADB
		31.3	Percentage of collected MSW composted	%	> 20	5–20	< 5	IADB
		Landfill efficiency / capacity	32	Remaining life of current landfill(s)	Years	> 8	5–8	< 5

LAND-USE	Density / Integrated land- use	33	Population density on urban land	Residents / km ²	7000–20000	4000-7000; 20000-25000	<4000; >25000	IADB
		33.1	Average commuting distance	km	> 5	5–10	<10	OECD / ICLEI
		33.2	Average commuting time	min	< 30	30–60	> 60	OECD / ICLEI
		33.3	Proportion of the population living within 20 minutes to everyday services (grocery stores, clinics, etc.)	%	> 75	50–75	< 50	OECD / ICLEI
	Urban sprawl	34	Average annual growth rate of built-up areas	%	< 3	3–5	> 5	IADB
		34.1	Percentage of urban development that occurs on existing urban land rather than on greenfield land	%	> 40	20-40	< 20	OECD / ICLEI
	Use of existing built-up areas	35	Vacancy rates of offices	%	< 6%	6 – 10%	> 10%	OECD / ICLEI
35.1		Share of multi-family houses in total housing units	%	NA	NA	NA	OECD / ICLEI	

Response Indicators

Sector	Item	#	Indicator	Benchmarks		
TRANSPORT	Energy efficiency and type of energy used in transport	36	High-polluting vehicles are regulated / Energy-efficient vehicles are incentivised through fiscal instruments	Existing and well implemented, and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	Existing, but implementation challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	Not existing
	Choice of transport mode	37	Extension and improvement of public and non-motorised transport is planned and supported through investment in place			
		38	Public and non-motorised transport is promoted through Information and awareness campaigns			
	Congestion	39	Traffic demand is managed (congestion charges, smart technologies)			
	Resilience of transport systems	40	Public transport emergency management (in publicly and/or privately run networks) is planned and tested			
BUILDINGS	Electricity and heat consumption	41	Green building is promoted through standards and fiscal incentives	Existing and well implemented, and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	Existing, but implementation challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	Not existing
		42	Public and private investment in energy efficiency in buildings			
		43	Metering and billing for personal energy use is regulated			
INDUSTRIES	Electricity and heat consumption / energy efficient industrial processes	44	Energy efficient industrial machinery is regulated and incentivised through fiscal instruments (electricity, heat, industrial processes)	Existing and well implemented, and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	Existing, but implementation challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	Not existing
		45	Energy efficient industrial technologies (electricity, heat, industrial processes) is supported through private investment			
	Industrial waste / material consumption	46	Material efficiency of new built industrial facilities and waste recycling is regulated and incentivised through fiscal instruments			
	Industrial wastewater	47	Industrial wastewater treatment / reuse / recycle is promoted through regulations and fiscal incentives			
ENERGY	Electricity and heat provision	48	Coverage and quality of electricity and heat supply is improved through investment	Existing and well implemented,	Existing, but implementation	Not existing

	Renewable energy development	49	Renewable energy facilities in private buildings are incentivised through fiscal instruments	and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	
		50	Renewable energy technologies are developed and supported through public and private investment			
		51	Renewable energy facilities are incentivised through awareness campaigns			
	Resilience of the electricity network	52	The resilience of electricity networks in case of disaster is tested and enhanced through investment			
WATER (SUPPLY, SANITATION, DRAINAGE)	Water consumption	53	Metering and billing for water use is regulated	Existing and well implemented, and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	Existing, but implementation challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	Not existing
		54	Water saving / reuse is encouraged through awareness campaigns			
	Efficiency of water supply networks	55	Coverage and efficiency of water supply networks is improved through plans and investment			
		Wastewater treatment	56			
	57		Wastewater treatment is promoted through regulations and fiscal incentives			
	58		Wastewater billing is regulated			
	Drinking water pre-treatment	59	Drinking water pre-treatment is enhanced through plans and investment			
	Resilience to floods	60	Drainage facilities are developed through plans and investment			
61		Business and community resilience is encouraged through awareness campaigns				
SOLID WASTE	Solid waste generation	62	Reduction of material consumption / solid waste generation is promoted through awareness campaigns	Existing and well implemented, and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	Existing, but implementation challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	Not existing
	Collection of solid waste	63	Coverage of solid waste collection system is improved through plans and investment			
		64	Littering and non-compliance to sorting systems is dis-incentivised through fines and penalties			
	Treatment of solid waste	65	Composting, recycling and waste-to-energy facilities are developed through plans and investment			
		66	Solid waste reuse, sorting and recycling is promoted through information and awareness campaigns			
Landfill efficiency and overcapacity	67	Overcapacity issues in landfills are tackled through plans and investment				

LAND-USE	Density / Integrated land-use / urban sprawl	68	Density is regulated	Existing and well implemented, and there is no significant need to further expand this type of response	Existing, but implementation challenges have been observed, and/or existing policies are not sufficient to solve the issue at stake	Not existing
		69	Transit-Oriented Development is promoted			
	Use of existing built-up areas	70	Mixed-use development is promoted through zoning regulations / incentives			

Annex 3. Definition of Green City Indicators

State indicators

Topic	Indicator	Unit	Definition / Description	Source	
AIR	1	Average annual concentration of PM _{2.5}	µg/m ³	Particulate matter in suspension, with a diameter lower than 2.5µm, annual average. The data should be collected twice a month through sensors in multiple locations of the city, and averaged. The locations should reflect the diversity of urban areas (residential, roadside, industrial zones, parks etc.)	http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs313/en/
	1.1	Average annual concentration of PM ₁₀	µg/m ³	Particulate matter in suspension, with a diameter lower than 10µm, annual average. The data should be collected twice a month through sensors in multiple locations of the city, and averaged. The locations should reflect the diversity of urban areas (residential, roadside, industrial zones, parks etc.)	
	1.2	Average daily concentration of SO ₂	µg/m ³	Sulphur dioxide in suspension 24-hour average. The data should be collected twice a month through sensors in multiple locations of the city, and averaged. The locations should reflect the diversity of urban areas (residential, roadside, industrial zones, parks etc.)	
	1.3	Average annual concentration of NO ₂	µg/m ³	Nitrogen dioxide in suspension, annual average. The data should be collected twice a month through sensors in multiple locations of the city, and averaged. The locations should reflect the diversity of urban areas (residential, roadside, industrial zones, parks etc.)	
WATER BODIES	2	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) in rivers and lakes	mg/L	BOD shows how much dissolved oxygen is needed for the decomposition of organic matter present in water. The data should be collected in several locations of each river / lake, twice a month	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/freshwater-quality/freshwater-quality-assessment-published-may-2
	2.1	Ammonium (NH ₄) concentration in rivers and lakes	µg/L	Ammonium concentrations are normally raised as a result of organic pollution, caused by discharges from waste water treatment plants, industrial effluents and agricultural runoff. The data should be collected in several locations of each river / lake, twice a month.	
DRINKING WATER	3	Percentage of water samples in a year that comply with national	%	The data should be collected in several locations the water supply network. Ideally the quality of water should be frequently measured to avoid health hazards (once a week)	IADB's ESCI

				potable water quality standards	
SOIL	4	Number of contaminated sites	CSs / 1000 inh.	The term ' contaminated site ' (CS) refers to a well-defined area where the presence of soil contamination has been confirmed and this presents a potential risk to humans, water, ecosystems or other receptors. Risk management measures, e.g. remediation, may be needed depending on the severity of the risk of adverse impacts to receptors under the current or planned use of the site. Sensitive areas, such as industrial zones and solid waste disposal sites, should be covered.	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/progress-in-management-of-contaminated-sites-3/assessment
	4.1a – 4.1c	Concentration of heavy metals (Pb) in soil	mg/kg	Concentration of (a) mercury, (b) cadmium and (c) zinc in soil. Other heavy metals that could be measured include chromium, arsenic, lead, copper and nickel. The data should be collected in multiple locations of the city, twice a month. Sensitive areas, such as industrial zones and solid waste disposal sites, should be covered. Benchmarks follow <u>standards</u> set by the Dutch Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment.	
	4.2	Concentration of mineral oil in soil	mg/kg	The data should be collected in multiple locations of the city, twice a month. Sensitive areas, such as industrial zones should be covered. Benchmarks follow <u>standards</u> set by the Dutch Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment.	
WATER	5	Water Exploitation Index	%	The Water Exploitation Index Plus (WEI+) is the total water use as a percentage of the renewable freshwater resources in a given territory and time scale.	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/use-of-freshwater-resources-2/assessment-1
GREEN SPACES	6	Open green space area ratio per 100 000 inhabitant	Hectares	Hectares of permanent green space per 100,000 city residents. The data should be compiled bi-annually.	IADB's ESCI
	6.1	Share of non-built-up areas within urban limits	%	This indicator measures the amount of green, blue and vacant land within urban limits. The data should be compiled bi-annually.	OECD / ICLEI
BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEMS	7	Abundance of bird species	Annual % of change	This indicator measures the percentage of change in bird population in one year. The data for the whole city can be estimated from a sample of an inventory of bird population in a given area. The data should be compiled once a year	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/abundance-and-distribution-of-selected-species/abundance-and-distribution-of-selected-2
	7.1	Abundance of other species	Annual % of change	This indicator measures the percentage of change in a given species population in one year. The data for the whole city can be estimated from a sample of an inventory of bird population in a given area. The data should be compiled once a year	

MITIGATION (GHG EMISSIONS)	8	Annual CO ₂ emissions per capita	Tonne / year / capita	CO ₂ emissions of the city, divided by city population. This indicator controls for the size of city population. Estimates of CO ₂ emissions must first be made within each sector (transport, electricity etc.) and averaged. The data should be compiled once a month.	IADB's ESCI
	8.1	Annual CO ₂ emissions per unit of GDP	Tonne / USD of GDP	CO ₂ emissions, divided by the GDP of the city. The data should be compiled once a month.	IADB's ESCI
ADAPTATION (RESILIENCE TO NATURAL DISASTER RISKS)	9	Estimated economic damage from natural disasters (floods, droughts, earthquakes etc.) as a share of GDP	%	This indicator should measure overall losses (not only uninsured losses). Usually a city already has such data. Otherwise, the information may be found in the EM-DAT database or the NatCatService database. If such data is not available, data on past damages can be used (as an average of damages over the past 10 years).	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/direct-losses-from-weather-disasters-1/assessment
	9.1	Percentage of public infrastructure at risk of natural disaster	%	Percentage of public infrastructure vulnerable to natural disasters due to inadequate construction or placement in areas of non-mitigable risk. This requires an identification of urban areas exposed to a disaster (e.g. located in a low-lying area, exposed to a landslide...) together with information about the quality of housing in such areas. The data should be collected based on a selected climatic / geological event (e.g. 10-year flood, if flood is the most common type of disaster that usually hit the city). The data should be collected bi-annually.	IADB's ESCI
	9.2	Percentage of households at risk of natural disaster	%	Percentage of households vulnerable to natural disasters due to inadequate construction or placement in areas of non-mitigable risk. This requires an identification of urban areas exposed to a disaster (e.g. located in a low-lying area, exposed to a landslide...) together with information about the quality of housing in such areas. The data should be collected based on a selected climatic / geological event (e.g. 10-year flood, if flood is the most common type of disaster that usually hit the city). The data should be collected bi-annually. The data should be collected bi-annually.	IADB's ESCI

Pressure Indicators

Sector	Indicator	Unit	Definition / Description	URL	
TRANSPORT	10	Average age of car fleet (total and by type)	Years	The data can be compiled from the vehicle registration database of the municipality, once a year.	IADB's ESCI
	10.1	Percentage of diesel cars in total vehicle fleet	%	The data can be compiled from the vehicle registration database of the municipality, once a year.	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/size-of-the-vehicle-fleet/size-of-the-vehicle-fleet-2
	10.2	Fuel standards for light passenger and commercial vehicles	n.a.	Adoption of latest EURO standards or equivalent for light passenger and commercial vehicles.	http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/transport/road.htm
	10.3	Share of total passenger car fleet run by alternative energy (total and by type)	%	Alternative energy here refers to LPG, natural gas and electric. The data can be compiled from the vehicle registration database of the municipality, once a year.	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/proportion-of-vehicle-fleet-meeting-4/assessment
	11	Transport modal share in commuting	%	The number of commuters working in the subject city who use each mode of transport (cars, motorcycles, taxi, bus, metro, tram, bicycle, pedestrian) divided by the number of <u>commuting trips to work</u> . Surveys are a common data collection method. The data can be collected bi-annually.	IADB's ESCI
	11.1	Transport modal share in total trips	%	The number of commuters working in the subject city who use each mode of transport (cars, motorcycles, taxi, bus, metro, tram, bicycle, pedestrian) divided by the number of <u>all trips</u> in the city. Surveys are a common data collection method. The data can be collected bi-annually.	OECD / ICLEI
	11.2	Motorisation rate	Number of vehicles per capita	Number of private vehicles (cars, motorcycles) per capita. This can be calculated by dividing the total number of vehicles (obtained from the vehicle registration database) by the population. The data can be collected bi-annually.	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/size-of-the-vehicle-fleet/size-of-the-vehicle-fleet-2
	11.3	Average number of vehicles (cars and motorbikes) per household	Number of vehicles per household	Number of private vehicles (cars, motorcycles) per household. This can be calculated by dividing the total number of	OECD / ICLEI

			vehicles (obtained from the vehicle registration database) by the number of households. The data should be collected bi-annually.	
11.4	Kilometers of road dedicated exclusively to public transit per 100 000 population	km	The total centreline kilometres dedicated exclusively to bus way and rail way, divided by 100,000 of city population. The data should be collected once a year.	IADB's ESCI
11.5	Kilometers of bicycle path per 100 000 population	km	The total centreline kilometres dedicated to bicycle path, divided by 100,000 of city population. The data should be collected once a year.	IADB's ESCI
11.6	Share of population having access to public transport within 15 min by foot	%	Share of population that can reach a public transport station within 15 min by foot. The data can be collected through surveys, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
11.7	Frequency of bus service	Average number of service at station per hour in total bus network	The data can be calculated from the timetable of each bus line, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
12	Average travel speed on primary thoroughfares during peak hour	Km/h	The average travel speed for all private motorised vehicles and public transit vehicles, across all locally defined thoroughfares during the peak commuting hours (typically, morning and evening)	IADB's ESCI
12.1	Travel speed of bus service on major thoroughfares (daily average)	Km/h	The data should be collected continuously.	OECD / ICLEI
13	Interruption of public transport systems in case of disaster	n.a.	A qualitative assessment of the ability of public transport systems to run efficiently during a natural disaster (flood, earthquake, storm...)	OECD / ICLEI
13.1	Efficiency of transport emergency systems in case of disaster	n.a.	A qualitative assessment of the ability of emergency transport systems (firefighters, police, ambulance...) to run efficiently during a natural disaster (flood, earthquake, storm...)	OECD / ICLEI

BUILDINGS	14	Electricity consumption in buildings	kWh / m ²	Electricity consumption in urban built environment per square meter	<u>IEA Energy Efficiency Market Report 2015, Odyssee-Mure database, CISBE Guides 19, 72, 286</u>
	14.1	Electricity consumption in residential building	kWh / m ²	Electricity consumption in urban residential buildings per square meter	
	14.2	Electricity consumption in non-residential buildings	kWh / m ²	Electricity consumption in urban non-residential buildings per square meter	
	15	Heating / cooling consumption in buildings, fossil fuels	kWh / m ²	Heat (fossil fuel) consumption in urban built environment per square meter	<u>IEA Energy Efficiency Market Report 2015, Odyssee-Mure database, CISBE Guides 19, 72, 286</u>
	15.1	Heating / cooling consumption in residential buildings, fossil fuels	kWh / m ²	Heat (fossil fuel) consumption in urban residential buildings per square meter	
	15.2	Heating / cooling consumption in non-residential buildings, fossil fuels	kWh / m ²	Heat (fossil fuel) consumption in urban non-residential buildings per square meter	
	15.3	Share of city enterprises with ISO14001/EMAS certification or similar	%	EMAS and ISO 14001 are the two most recognised and widely applied certification systems for environmental management applicable to both private companies and public institutions. EMAS is completely compatible with ISO 14001, but goes further in its requirements for performance improvement, employee involvement, legal compliance and communication with stakeholders.	
15.4	Total value of projects with green building certification as a share of the total value of projects granted a building permit per year	%	-	OECD (2013) Green growth in cities	
INDUSTRIES	16	Electricity consumption in industries, per unit of industrial GDP	kWh / 2010 USD	This indicator measures the electricity productivity of industries.	OECD / ICLEI
	17	Heat consumption in industries, per unit of industrial GDP	kJ / 2010 USD	This indicator measures the heat productivity of industries.	OECD / ICLEI

	18	Heavy metals (e.g. Pb) emission intensity of manufacturing industries	kg of heavy metals equivalent released per million USD GVA	This indicator is used to illustrate the emission intensity of manufacturing industries expressed as the amount of pollutant discharged in water per unit of production of the manufacturing industries (one million USD gross value added). The indicator shows a decoupling of economic growth (GVA) from environmental impact (emission of pollutants).	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/emission-intensity-of-manufacturing-industries-1/assessment
	18.1	Fossil fuel combustion in industrial processes, per unit of industrial GDP	MJ / 2010 USD	This indicator measures the fossil fuel use productivity of industries	OECD / ICLEI
	18.2	Share of industrial energy consumption from renewable energy	%	-	OECD / ICLEI
	19	Share of industrial waste recycled as a share of total industrial waste produced	%	Green benchmark to be set as 90% or 95% with GCAP iterations	OECD / ICLEI
	20	Percentage of industrial wastewater that is treated according to applicable national standards	%	The data should be collected every month.	OECD / ICLEI
ENERGY	21	Share of population with an authorised connection to electricity	%	Percentage of the city's households with a legal connection to sources of electrical energy	IADB's ESCI
	21.1	Annual average number of electrical interruptions per year, per customer	# / year / customer	Average number of electrical interruptions per year, per customer	IADB's ESCI
	22	Share of population with access to district heating / cooling	%	Percentage of the city's households with a legal connection to sources of district centralised heating	OECD / ICLEI
	23	Proportion of total energy derived from RES as a share of total city energy consumption (in TJ; compared to benchmark of 20% (links to EU target)	%	The amount of renewable energy consumed for electricity, heating and cooling, and transport, and expressed as a share against gross final energy consumption	http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/renewable-gross-final-energy-consumption-4/assessment

	24	Average share of population undergoing power outage in case of climatic extremes over the past 5 years	%	-	OECD / ICLEI
WATER (SUPPLY, SANITATION, DRAINAGE)	25	Water consumption per capita	L / day / capita	Annual consumption of water per capita of people whose homes have a water connection to the city's network. The data can be obtained from the utility agency supplying the water. The data should be collected several times per year, as climate differences across seasons is likely to result in different water consumption levels.	IADB's ESCI
	25.1	Water consumption per unit of city GDP	L / day / USD	This indicator measures water resource productivity. See remarks above on the sampling method.	OECD (2014), Green Growth Indicators 2014
	25.2	Unit of water consumed in power plants, per unit of primary energy generated	l / MW / h	This indicator measures the productivity of water use in energy generation. The data should be obtained from the water supply utility of the municipality. Best practice benchmarks are based on IPCC and NREL standards.	OECD / ICLEI, <u>NREL</u>
	25.3	Industrial water consumption as percent of total urban water consumption	%	Used to flag if industrial water consumption represents a larger portion of total urban water consumption than international norms. Industrial water consumption marked as 'green' may still have water efficiency challenges, but total water consumption does not represent a burden on municipal water resources beyond international norms. The data should be obtained from municipal water supply utility.	EBRD
	26	Non-revenue water	%	Percentage of water that is lost from treated water entering the distribution system and that is accounted for and billed by the water provider. This includes actual water losses (e.g., leaking pipes) and billing losses (e.g., broken water meters, absence of water meters, and illegal connections). It should be calculated as the ratio of water production out of actual water consumption.	IADB's ESCI

	26.1	Annual average of daily number of hours of continuous water supply per household	%	The data should be collected through surveys, twice a year.	IADB's ESCI
	27	Percentage of residential and commercial wastewater that is treated according to applicable national standards	%	The data should be collected by analysing the quality of treatment in wastewater treatment facilities.	OECD / ICLEI
	27.1	Percentage of buildings (non-industrial) equipped to reuse grey water	%	Percentage of buildings connected to facilities that treat wastewater from sinks, showers, tubes, and washing machines. The data should be collected through surveys, once a year.	OECD (2013) Green Growth in Cities
	27.2	Percentage of wastewater from energy generation activities that is treated according to applicable national standards	%	-	OECD / ICLEI
	28	Percentage of dwellings damaged by the most intense flooding in the last 10 years	%	Percentage of dwellings that were affected in terms of assets and health. The data can be collected through surveys. An estimate can be calculated from a sample population but it should be representative of different types of urban areas in the city (high / low elevation, close to / far from water bodies etc.)	OECD / ICLEI
	28.1	Annual number of storm water/sewerage overflows per 100km of network length	Number of events per year	The data should be collected by monitoring the number of overflow in some areas of the city, and by deriving an estimate for the entire city. The data should be calculated as an average of several measurements over the year.	OECD (2013) Green Growth in Cities
	28.2	Awareness and preparedness to natural disasters	n.a.	This is a qualitative assessment of citizens' awareness about the threats of natural disasters and means to minimise damages (e.g. insurance, knowledge of shelters, measures to take at the building level etc.)	OECD / ICLEI
SOLID WASTE	29	Total solid waste generation per capita	Kg / year / capita	The data can be collected once a year.	http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer-2015/countries-comparison/waste

29.1	GDP per domestic material consumption	USD / kg	This indicator measures material resource productivity.	OECD (2014), Green Growth Indicators 2014
30	Share of the population with weekly municipal solid waste (MSW) collection	%	Percentage of the population whose solid waste is collected at least once a week. The data can be collected through surveys, once a year.	IADB's ESCI
31	Proportion of MSW that is sorted and recycled (total and by type of waste e.g. paper, glass, batteries, PVC, bottles, metals)	%	Formally and informally recycled materials are those diverted from the waste stream, recovered, and sent for processing into new products, following local government permits and regulations.	IADB's ESCI
31.1	Percentage of MSW which is disposed of in open dumps, controlled dumps, or bodies of water or is burnt	%	-	OECD / ICLEI
31.2	Percentage of MSW landfilled disposed of in EU-compliant sanitary landfills	%	Percentage of the city's municipal solid waste disposed of in sanitary landfills. Waste sent for recovery (composting, recycling, etc.) is excluded. To be considered sanitary, the landfill should have leachate and landfill gas collection and treatment systems. The data can be collected from estimates produced at each landfill. Several measurements over the year and an averaged mean may be necessary to obtain data representative of long-term patterns.	IADB's ESCI
31.3	Percentage of collected MSW composted	%	Percentage of the city's solid waste that is treated by composting (in terms of weight). The data on weight of solid waste composted can be produced at composting stations. Several measurements over the year and an averaged mean may be necessary to obtain data representative of long-term patterns.	IADB's ESCI
32	Remaining life of current landfill(s)	Years	Remaining useful life of the site of the sanitary or controlled landfill, based on the city's municipal solid waste generation projections (in years). The data can be collected twice a year.	IADB's ESCI

LAND-USE	33	Population density on urban land	Residents / km ²	People who live in the urbanised area of the municipality, per km ² of urbanised area of the municipality. The data can be collected bi-annually.	IADB's ESCI
	33.1	Average commuting distance	km	Average distance travelled by all commuters to work. The data should be collected through surveys, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
	33.2	Average commuting time	min	Average time spent in commuting by all commuters. The data should be collected through surveys, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
	33.3	Proportion of the population living within 20 minutes to everyday services (grocery stores, clinics, etc.)	%	Proportion of the population living within 20 minutes by any mode of transport to everyday services. The data should be collected through surveys, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
	34	Average annual growth rate of built-up areas	%	Average annual growth rate of the areal urban built-up areas (excluding green space and vacant land) within the city's official limits. The data should be collected from the building permits database, once a year.	IADB's ESCI
	34.1	Percentage of urban development that occurs on existing urban land rather than on greenfield land	%	Ratio of urban development that occurs on brownfield, over development that occurs on greenfield on the urban fringes. The data should be collected from the building permits database, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
	35	Vacancy rates of offices	%	Percentage of offices that are vacant out of the total office stock. The data can be collected through surveys once a year.	OECD / ICLEI
	35.1	Share of multi-family houses in total housing units	%	A multi-family house is defined as a building that contains multiple separate housing units. The data can be collected from land-use database, once a year.	OECD / ICLEI

Response Indicators

Sector	Indicator	Examples of response	
TRANSPORT	36	High-polluting vehicles are regulated / Energy-efficient vehicles are incentivised through fiscal instruments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interdiction of circulation and fines for high-emitting vehicles - Subsidies to replace vehicles older than 2000 or diesel-powered vehicles - Fuel standards (EURO 6, EURO 5...)
	37	Extension and improvement of public and non-motorised transport is planned and supported through investment in place	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sustainable Transport Development Plan
	38	Public and non-motorised transport is promoted through Information and awareness campaigns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Car-free days
	39	Traffic demand is managed (congestion charges, smart technologies)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Congestion charges - Smart automated traffic regulation - Parking management
	40	Public transport emergency management (in publicly and/or privately run networks) is planned and tested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Transport resilience action plan - Tests for road transport evacuation - Tests on efficiency of emergency transport systems
BUILDINGS	41	Green building is promoted through standards and fiscal incentives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Green buildings standards - Subsidies for installation of energy efficient building infrastructure
	42	Public and private investment in energy efficiency in buildings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public and private investment in retrofitting
	43	Metering and billing for personal energy use is regulated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Billing based on actual consumption - Smart metering technologies in households
INDUSTRIES	44	Energy efficient industrial machinery is regulated and incentivised through fiscal instruments (electricity, heat, industrial processes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Penalties for high-emitting industrial technologies - Subsidies for the purchase of energy efficient industrial technologies
	45	Energy efficient industrial technologies (electricity, heat, industrial processes) is supported through private investment	
	46	Material efficiency of new built industrial facilities and waste recycling is regulated and incentivised through fiscal instruments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Penalties for low recycling rate of industrial waste - Subsidies for material efficient technologies and recycling facilities - Mandatory recycling rates
ENERGY	47	Industrial wastewater treatment / reuse / recycle is promoted through regulations and fiscal incentives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Energy (Electricity / Heating) Master Plan

	48	Coverage and quality of electricity and heat supply is improved through investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Subsidies for the development of solar panels on rooftops - Subsidies for the development of solar water heaters
	49	Renewable energy facilities in private buildings are incentivised through fiscal instruments	
	50	Renewable energy technologies are developed and supported through public and private investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Renewable energy education programmes in schools
	51	Renewable energy facilities are incentivised through awareness campaigns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Energy resilience action plan - Tests on the resilience of the energy supply network - Smart technologies to detect power breakdown
WATER (SUPPLY, SANITATION, DRAINAGE)	52	The resilience of electricity networks in case of disaster is tested and enhanced through investment	
	53	Metering and billing for water use is regulated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Billing based on actual consumption - Smart metering technologies in households
	54	Water saving / reuse is encouraged through awareness campaigns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Water saving education programmes schools
	55	Coverage and efficiency of water supply networks is improved through plans and investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Water Master Plan - Investment to reduce leakage in water pipes - Investment to provide more continuous water supply in households
	56	Buildings' access to wastewater collection and treatment systems is improved through plans and investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Investment to equip buildings with individual or communal grey and black water treatment facilities - Investment to connect buildings to wastewater treatment plants - Construction of new wastewater treatment facilities
	57	Wastewater treatment is promoted through regulations and fiscal incentives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mandatory wastewater collection facilities for new buildings
	58	Wastewater billing is regulated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wastewater collected is charged to households
	59	Drinking water pre-treatment is enhanced through plans and investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Construction / upgrading of drinking water treatment facilities
	60	Drainage facilities are developed through plans and investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Resilience Master Plan - Construction of drainage tunnels - Construction of dykes - Construction of retention ponds
	61	Business and community resilience is encouraged through awareness campaigns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Information on business continuity plans on the City Hall's website - Education programmes on resilience to natural disasters (floods, earthquakes etc.) in schools

SOLID WASTE	62	Reduction of material consumption / solid waste generation is promoted through awareness campaigns	- Education programmes on solid waste reuse / recycling in schools and in companies
	63	Coverage of solid waste collection system is improved through plans and investment	- Action plan to reduce amounts of solid waste dumped in the streets
	64	Littering and non-compliance to sorting systems is disincentivised through fines and penalties	
	65	Composting, recycling and waste-to-energy facilities are developed through plans and investment	- Construction of recycling facilities - Construction of waste-to-energy facilities - Construction of composting facilities
	66	Solid waste reuse, sorting and recycling is promoted through information and awareness campaigns	- Education programmes on solid waste reuse / recycling in schools and in companies
	67	Overcapacity issues in landfills are tackled through plans and investment	- Construction of new landfills
LAND-USE	68	Density is regulated	- Density targets - Incentives for higher densities (e.g. Floor-Area-Ratio bonus)
	69	Transit-Oriented Development is promoted	- TOD is promoted in Transport and Land-Use Master Plans - Higher density regulations near public transport lines
	70	Mixed-use development is promoted through zoning regulations / incentives	- Zoning regulations promote mixed-use development - Fiscal incentives for mixed-use development for developers

Annex 4. Water consumption factors for energy technologies (Litre MW-1 h-1)

Fuel Type	Cooling	Technology	Min	Median	Range	Max	Sample Size
PV	N/A	Utility scale PV	0	1	1-5	5	3
Wind	N/A	Wind turbine	0	0	0-0	0	2
CSP	Tower	Trough	725	906	906-1109	1109	18
		Power Tower	751	786	786-912	912	4
		Fresnel	1000	1000	1000-1000	1000	1
	Dry	Trough	43	78	78-79	79	11
		Power Tower	26	26	26-26	26	1
	Hybrid	Trough	117	338	338-397	397	3
		Power Tower	102	170	170-302	302	2
	N/A	Stirling	4	5	5-6	6	2
Biopower	Tower	Steam	480	553	553-965	965	4
		Biogas	235	235	235-235	235	1
	Once-through	Steam	300	300	300-300	300	1
	Pond	Steam	300	390	390-480	480	1
	Dry	Biogas	35	35	35-35	35	1
Geothermal	Tower	Flash	5	15	15-361	361	4
		Dry Flash	5	5	5-5	5	1
		Binary	270	270	270-270	270	1
		EGS	290	505	505-720	720	1
	Hybrid	Binary	221	461	461-700	700	2
Hydropower	N/A	In-stream and reservoir	1425	4491	4491-18000	18000	3
Nuclear	Tower	Generic	581	672	672-845	845	6
	Once-through	Generic	100	269	269-400	400	4
	Pond	Generic	560	610	610-720	720	2
Natural Gas	Tower	Combined Cycle	130	205	205-300	300	6
		Steam	662	826	826-1170	1170	4
		Combined Cycle with CCS	378	393	393-407	407	2
	Once-through	Combined Cycle	20	100	100-100	100	3
		Steam	95	240	240-291	291	2
	Pond	Combined Cycle	240	240	240-240	240	1
	Dry	Combined Cycle	0	2	2-4	4	2
Coal	Tower	Generic	480	687	687-1100	1100	5
		Subcritical	394	479	479-664	664	7

	Supercritical	445	493	493-594	594	8
	IGCC	318	380	380-439	439	8
	Subcritical with CCS	394	479	479-664	664	7
	Supercritical with CCS	445	493	493-594	594	8
	IGCC with CCS	318	380	380-439	439	8
Once-through	Generic	100	250	250-317	317	4
	Subcritical	71	113	113-138	138	3
	Supercritical	64	103	103-124	124	3
Pond	Generic	300	545	545-700	700	2
	Subcritical	737	779	779-804	804	3
	Supercritical	4	42	42-64	64	3

References

See <https://bit.ly/2kQ9aDC> (last accessed 18 September 2019)

See www.iea.org/statistics (last accessed 18 September 2019)

See <http://bitly.ws/5hyl> (last accessed 18 September 2019)

J Macknick, R Newmark, G Heath and K C Hallett (2012). "Operational water consumption and withdrawal factors for electricity generating technologies: a review of existing literature", *Environmental Research Letters*, Vol. 7, No. 4.

European Commission, 2018 - https://ec.europa.eu/info/news/commission-reviews-implementation-eu-waste-rules-proposes-actions-help-14-member-states-meet-recycling-targets-2018-sep-24_en (accessed on 15.06.2020)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature.

Terms and names used in this report to refer to or represent geographical or other territories, political and economic groupings and units, do not constitute and should not be construed as constituting an express or implied position, endorsement, acceptance or expression of opinion by the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development or its members concerning the status of any country, territory, grouping and unit, or delimitation of its borders, or sovereignty.

Note: Hyperlinks to non-EBRD web sites do not imply any official endorsement or responsibility for the opinions, ideas, data or products presented at these locations, or guarantee the validity of the information provided. The sole purpose of links to non-EBRD sites is to indicate further information available on related topics.

The contents of this publication reflect the opinions of individual authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the EBRD.

EBRD GREEN CITIES

**European Bank for
Reconstruction and Development**

One Exchange Square

London EC2A 2JN

United Kingdom

www.ebrd.com